



Standard Test Method for Measurement of Ultrasonic Attenuation Coefficients of Advanced Ceramics by Pulse-Echo Contact Technique¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C 1332; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method describes a procedure for measurement of ultrasonic attenuation coefficients for advanced structural ceramic materials. The procedure is based on a broadband buffered piezoelectric probe used in the pulse-echo contact mode and emitting either longitudinal or shear waves. The primary objective of this test method is materials characterization.

1.2 The procedure requires coupling an ultrasonic probe to the surface of a plate-like sample and the recovery of successive front surface and back surface echoes. Power spectra of the echoes are used to calculate the attenuation spectrum (attenuation coefficient as a function of ultrasonic frequency) for the sample material. The transducer bandwidth and spectral response are selected to cover a range of frequencies and corresponding wavelengths that interact with microstructural features of interest in solid test samples.

1.3 The purpose of this test method is to establish fundamental procedures for measurement of ultrasonic attenuation coefficients. These measurements should distinguish and quantify microstructural differences among solid samples and therefore help establish a reference database for comparing materials and calibrating ultrasonic attenuation measurement equipment.

1.4 This test method applies to monolithic ceramics and also polycrystalline metals. This test method may be applied to whisker reinforced ceramics, particulate toughened ceramics, and ceramic composites provided that similar constraints on sample size, shape, and finish are met as described herein for monolithic ceramics.

1.5 This test method sets forth the constraints on sample size, shape, and finish that will assure valid attenuation coefficient measurements. This test method also describes the instrumentation, methods, and data processing procedures for accomplishing the measurements.

1.6 This test method is not recommended for highly attenuating materials such as very thick, very porous, rough-surfaced

monolithics or composites. This test method is not recommended for highly nonuniform, heterogeneous, cracked, defective, or otherwise flaw-ridden samples that are unrepresentative of the nature or inherent characteristics of the material under examination.

1.7 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

C 1331 Test Method for Measuring Ultrasonic Velocity in Advanced Ceramics with Broadband Pulse-Echo Cross-Correlation Method

E 664 Practice for the Measurement of the Apparent Attenuation of Longitudinal Ultrasonic Waves by Immersion Method

E 1316 Terminology for Nondestructive Examinations

E 1495 Guide for Acousto-Ultrasonic Assessment of Composites, Laminates, and Bonded Joints

2.2 ASNT Document:

Recommended Practice SNT-TC-1A for Nondestructive Testing Personnel Qualification and Certification³

2.3 Military Standard:

MIL-STD-410 Nondestructive Testing Personnel Qualification and Certification⁴

2.4 *Additional references are cited in the text and at end of this test method.*

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

³ Available from American Society for Nondestructive Testing (ASNT), P.O. Box 28518, 1711 Arlington Ln., Columbus, OH 43228-0518, <http://www.asnt.org>.

⁴ Available from Standardization Documents Order Desk, DODSSP, Bldg. 4, Section D, 700 Robbins Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19111-5098, <http://www.dodssp.daps.mil>.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C28 on Advanced Ceramics and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C28.03 on Physical Properties and Performance.

Current edition approved Aug. 1, 2007. Published August 2007. Originally approved in 1996. Last previous edition approved in 2001 as C 1332-01.




FIG. 1 Cross Section of Buffered Broadband Ultrasonic Probe

3.1.1 *acoustic impedance* (Z)—a property (1)⁵ defined by a material's density, ρ , and the velocity of sound within it, v , where $Z = \rho v$.

3.1.2 *attenuation coefficient* (α)—decrease in ultrasound intensity with distance expressed in nepers (Np) per unit length, herein, $\alpha = [\ln(I_0/I)]/d$, where α is attenuation coefficient, d is path length or distance, I_0 is original intensity and I is attenuated intensity (2).

3.1.3 *attenuation spectrum*—the attenuation coefficient, α , expressed as a function of ultrasonic frequency, f , or plotted as α versus f , over a range of ultrasonic frequencies within the bandwidth of the transducer and associated pulser-receiver instrumentation.

3.1.4 *back surface*—the surface of a test sample which is opposite to the front surface and from which back surface echoes are returned at normal incidence directly to the transducer.

3.1.5 *bandwidth*—the frequency range of an ultrasonic probe, defined by convention as the difference between the lower and upper frequencies at which the signal amplitude is 6 dB down from the frequency at which maximum signal amplitude occurs. The frequency at which the maximum occurs is termed the *center frequency* of the probe or transducer.

3.1.6 *broadband transducer*—an ultrasonic transducer capable of sending and receiving undistorted signals over a broad bandwidth, consisting of thin damped piezoelectric crystal in a buffered probe (search unit).

3.1.7 *buffered probe*—an ultrasonic search unit as defined in Terminology E 1316 but containing a delay line or buffer rod to which the piezoelement, that is, transducer consisting of a piezoelectric crystal, is affixed. The buffer rod separates the piezoelement from the test sample (see Fig. 1).

3.1.8 *buffer rod*—an integral part of a buffered probe or search unit, usually a quartz or fused silica cylinder that provides a time delay between the excitation pulse from the piezoelement and echoes returning from a sample coupled to the free end of the buffer rod.

3.1.9 *free surface*—the back surface of a solid test sample interfaced with a very low density medium, usually air or other gas, to assure that the back surface reflection coefficient equals 1 to a high degree of precision.

3.1.10 *frequency* (f)—number of oscillations per second of ultrasonic waves, measured in megahertz, MHz, herein.

3.1.11 *front surface*—the surface of a test sample to which the buffer rod is coupled at normal incidence (designated as test surface in Terminology E 1316).

3.1.12 *inherent attenuation*—ultrasound energy loss in a solid as a result of scattering, diffusion, and absorption. This standard assumes that the dominant inherent losses are due to Rayleigh and stochastic scattering (2) by the material microstructure, for example, by grains, grain boundaries, and micropores. Measured ultrasound energy loss which, if not corrected, may include losses due to diffraction, individual macroflaws, surface roughness, couplant variations, and transducer defects.

3.1.13 *reflection coefficient* (R)—measure of relative intensity of sound waves reflected back into a material at an interface, defined in terms of the acoustic impedance of the material in which the sound wave originates (Z_0) and the acoustic impedance of the material interfaced with it (Z_i), where $R = [(Z_i - Z_0)/(Z_i + Z_0)]^2$.

3.1.14 *test sample*—a solid coupon or material part that meets the constraints needed to make the attenuation coefficient measurements described herein, that is, a test sample or part having flat, parallel, smooth, preferably ground/polished opposing (front and back) surfaces and having no discrete flaws or anomalies that are unrepresentative of the inherent properties of the material.

3.1.15 *transmission coefficient* (T)—measure of relative intensity of sound waves transmitted through an interface, defined in terms of the acoustic impedance of the material in which the sound wave originates (Z_0) and the acoustic impedance of the material interfaced with it (Z_i), where $T = (4Z_0Z_i)/(Z_i + Z_0)^2$ so that $R + T = 1$.

3.1.16 *wavelength* (λ)—distance that sound (of a particular frequency) travels during one period (during one oscillation), $\lambda = v/f$, where v is the velocity of sound in the material and where velocity is measured in cm/ μ s, and wavelength in cm, herein.

3.2 Other terms used in this test method are defined in Terminology E 1316.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test method describes a procedure for determining a material's inherent attenuation coefficient and attenuation spectrum by means of a buffered broadband probe operating in the pulse-echo contact mode on a solid sample that has smooth, flat, parallel surfaces.

4.2 The procedure described in this test method involves digital acquisition and computer processing of ultrasonic echo waveforms returned by the test sample. Test sample constraints, probing methods, data validity criteria, and measurement corrections are prescribed herein.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is useful for characterizing material microstructure or measuring variations in microstructure that occur because of material processing conditions and thermal, mechanical, or chemical exposure (3). When applied to monolithic or composite ceramics, the procedure should reveal

⁵ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of this standard.

microstructural gradients due to density, porosity, and grain variations. This test method may also be applied to polycrystalline metals to assess variations in grain size, porosity, and multiphase constituents.

5.2 This test method is useful for measuring and comparing microstructural variations among different samples of the same material or for sensing and measuring subtle microstructural variations within a given sample.

5.3 This test method is useful for mapping variations in the attenuation coefficient and the attenuation spectrum as they pertain to variations in the microstructure and associated properties of monolithic ceramics, ceramic composites and metals.

5.4 This test method is useful for establishing a reference database for comparing materials and for calibrating ultrasonic attenuation measurement equipment.

5.5 This test method is not recommended for highly attenuating monolithics or composites that are thick, highly porous, or that have rough or highly textured surfaces. For these materials Practice E 664 may be appropriate. Guide E 1495 is recommended for assessing attenuation differences among composite plates and laminates that may exhibit, for example, pervasive matrix porosity or matrix crazing in addition to having complex fiber architectures or thermomechanical degradation (3). The proposed ASTM Standard Test Method for Measuring Ultrasonic Velocity in Advanced Ceramics (C 1331) is recommended for characterizing monolithic ceramics with significant porosity or porosity variations (4).

6. Personnel Qualifications

6.1 It is recommended that nondestructive evaluation/examination personnel applying this test method be qualified in accordance with a nationally recognized personnel qualification practice or standard such as ASNT SNT-TC-1A, MIL STD 410, or a similar document. The qualification practice or standard used and its applicable revision(s) should be specified in a contractual agreement.

6.2 Knowledge of the principles of ultrasonic testing is required. Personnel applying this test method shall be experi-

enced practitioners of ultrasonic examinations and associated methods for signal acquisition, processing, and interpretation.

6.3 Personnel shall have proficiency in computer programming and signal processing using digital methods for time and frequency domain signal analysis. Familiarity with the Fourier transform and associated spectrum analysis methods for ultrasonic signals is required.

7. Apparatus

7.1 The instrumentation and apparatus for pulse-echo contact ultrasonic attenuation coefficient measurement should include the following (see Fig. 2). Appropriate equipment can be assembled from any of several suppliers.

7.1.1 *Buffered Probe*, meeting the following requirements:

7.1.1.1 The probe should have a center frequency that corresponds to an ultrasonic wavelength that is less than one fifth of the thickness, *d*, of the test sample.

7.1.1.2 The probe bandwidth should match the bandwidth of received echoes. This may require transducer bandwidths of from 50 to 200 MHz.

7.1.1.3 The probe should be well constructed, carefully selected, and shown to be free of internal defects and structural anomalies that distort received echoes.

7.1.1.4 The frequency spectra of the first two echoes returned by the free end of the buffer should be essentially gaussian (bell shaped).

7.1.2 *Buffer Rod*, with length that results in a time delay ≥ 3 times the interval between two successive echoes from the back surface of the test sample. This imposes a limit on the test sample thickness if the buffer rod length is fixed or predetermined by design.

7.1.3 *Couplant*, meeting the following requirements:

7.1.3.1 The couplant should be a fluid such as glycerine or an ultrasonic gel that will not corrode, damage, or be absorbed by the test sample or part being examined.

7.1.3.2 The couplant film or couplant layer thickness should be much less than the ultrasound wavelength in the couplant at the probe's center frequency.




FIG. 2 Block Diagram of Computer System for Ultrasonic Signal Acquisition and Processing for Pulse-Echo Attenuation Measurement

7.1.3.3 Ideally, to avoid echo distortions, the acoustic impedance of the couplant should be between that of the buffer rod material and test sample (5). With fluid couplants, just reducing the couplant layer thickness is usually more practical than impedance matching by changing the fluid. For example, if glycerine is used between a fused quartz buffer and a steel sample, the couplant layer thickness should be less than 1 μm .

7.1.3.4 Dry coupling, for example, with an elastomer or thin deformable polymer film, may be used provided that echo distortions or phase inversions are avoided by acoustic impedance matching (5) and by substantially reducing the couplant layer thickness.

7.1.4 *Pulser-Receiver*, having a bandwidth exceeding that of the probe by a factor of 1.5 to 2 and including the probe/transducer bandwidth to avoid significant distortions of the received signals. The pulser-receiver should have controls for pulse voltage level, pulse duration, pulse repetition rate, pulse damping, gain (signal amplification steps), and received signal and synchronization outputs to an oscilloscope.

7.1.4.1 The pulse voltage should be ≈ 200 to ≈ 250 V.

7.1.4.2 The pulse duration should be between 10 to 20 ns to produce the necessary broadband excitation pulses having center frequencies of 50 MHz or greater.

7.1.4.3 The pulse repetition rate should be set slow enough to avoid overlapped echoes but fast enough to allow the averaging of 16 to 32 transient signals for digitizing each echo waveform.

7.1.5 *Coaxial Cable*, connecting the probe and pulser-receiver. The cable should be electrically impedance matched to both the probe and pulser-receiver to avoid electronic reverberations and consequent signal distortions. Short cables, 1 m or less, should be used.

7.1.6 *Oscilloscope Voltage Amplifier*, preferably a programmable vertical amplifier module using a general purpose interface bus (such as the IEEE 488 GPIB) and having selectable gains, for example, 20, 40, and 60 dB.

7.1.7 *Oscilloscope Time Base*, preferably a programmable time base module using a general purpose interface bus (GPIB) with a resolution of at least 5 ns and selectable ranges including a fundamental time base of 200 ns.

7.1.8 *Digital Time Synthesizer*, bus programmable module, to introduce a known time delay between the start of three separate time gates in the oscilloscope time base. Each time gate must generate a “window” to exclusively contain one of the echoes of interest, that is, front surface and two successive back surface echoes. The gate, that is, window start times, should be program controlled and program readable.

7.1.9 *Waveform Digitizing Oscilloscope*, bus programmable to window and digitize individual time domain ultrasonic echo waveforms into a 512-element array (or 1024-element array) with a data sampling interval of 1.95 ns (or 0.97 ns).

7.1.10 *Video Monitors*, one analog, one digital (optional) for real-time visual inspection of echo waveforms and for making interactive manual adjustments to the data acquisition controls. These control adjustments may include probe realignment/repositioning, couplant thickness optimization, and other adjustments to obtain echo waveforms that meet acceptance criteria given herein.

7.1.11 *XYZ-Axis Micropositioner*, motorized and bus programmable for holding the test sample support and positioning sample and coupling it to the probe buffer rod with a preset loading force.

7.1.12 *Load Cell and Controller*, bus programmable for measuring and controlling the force with which the buffer rod is coupled to the test sample so that the couplant thickness can be minimized and coupling force between sample and probe can be optimized.

7.1.13 *Probe Fixture*, to firmly attach the probe to the load cell.

7.1.14 *Sample Support*, to firmly hold test sample as it is brought in contact with and coupled to probe buffer rod at normal incidence.

7.1.15 *Computer and Instrument Interface*, to provide programmable bus control, data acquisition, data storage, data processing, graphics display, and output to a printer.

7.1.16 *Control Software*, to start and control the interface bus; optimize signal digitization and digitizer intensity; set the voltage scaling on the digitizer; control and set the time synthesizer; control and set the micropositioner and coupling pressure; monitor the load cell; etc.

7.1.17 *Signal Processing Software*, including FFT (fast Fourier transform) to acquire, process, and store waveform data; calculate and display attenuation coefficients and attenuation spectra; etc.

7.2 Some of the previously mentioned apparatus may be omitted in favor of manual alignment and coupling of test samples to the probe. For example, a manual precision laboratory jack may be used instead of the motorized XYZ-axis micropositioner. The load cell may also be omitted in this case. The programmable digital time synthesizer may be omitted by manually setting the time interval among windows containing front and back surface echoes.

7.3 For monolithic ceramics and polycrystalline metals, the frequency range of the pulser-receiver, probe-transducer should be between 10 and 200 MHz. The specific frequency range needed depends on the nature of the material and specimen thickness. For most metallic samples with thicknesses between 3 and 5 mm, a frequency range from 10 to 100 MHz will suffice while for most ceramic samples with similar thicknesses a frequency range from 20 to 200 MHz may be required for defining an attenuation spectrum.

7.4 In commercially available probes (search units) the buffer rod material is usually fused silica (and in rare cases quartz). Fused silica is appropriate because it is amorphous and transmits ultrasound well. When a fused silica buffer is coupled to metallic samples, the buffer/sample interface will have a sufficiently high reflection coefficient, R , to assure strong back surface echoes. The reflection coefficient is significantly less when a fused silica buffer is coupled to a ceramic (such as silicon carbide or silicon nitride). Therefore, ultrasound reflections within the sample will be weaker and result in weak back surface echoes returned to the transducer. This may be a problem because pulse-echo attenuation measurements depend on strong back surface echoes. This problem can be remedied by constructing probes with alternative buffer materials that

optimize buffer/sample interface reflections. Ideally, the reflection coefficient R should have values between 0.6 and 0.8 for the buffer-sample interface.

8. Procedure

8.1 Preparatory Steps to Assure Optimum Coupling and Signal Acquisition:

8.1.1 Clean the face of the buffer rod with ethanol or similar mild cleaning fluid to remove any dust, dirt, or residual couplant.

8.1.2 Place a small drop of fluid couplant on the buffer rod and then place the sample against the buffer. Whether the couplant consists of a fluid, elastomer, or plastic film, make sure that it completely wets or adheres to the buffer and sample surfaces.

8.1.3 Support the test sample with a hard, dry backing material, preferably with a rough-machined or sawtooth surface profile. Avoid coupling the sample to the backing material. The back surface directly opposite the probe should be free, that is, essentially air-backed.

8.1.4 Apply pressure until two back surface echoes appear on the video monitor. The optimum force for a 1.2 cm (0.5 in.) diameter buffer rod coupled to a test sample with glycerine couplant will be 44 to 88 N (10 to 20 lb) or a pressure of 220 to 440 KPa (30 to 60 psi).

8.1.5 Minimize losses and signal reverberations within the couplant layer by reducing the couplant thickness to $11.2 \mu\text{m}$.

8.2 The pulse-echo configuration and echo system for attenuation measurements is illustrated in Fig. 3.

8.2.1 Adjust the pulser-receiver (for example, pulser energy/damping and receiver gain/bandpass) to optimize the echo waveforms displayed by the video monitors.

8.2.2 Before digitizing echo waveforms, study the front and back surface echoes returned by the test sample. The magnitude (amplitude) spectra of their Fourier transforms should be essentially gaussian.

8.2.3 Collect the following echoes:

8.2.3.1 Echo F_0 from the free end of the buffer rod before it is coupled to the test sample.

8.2.3.2 Front surface echo F from the end of the buffer rod after it is coupled to the test sample.

8.2.3.3 Back surface echoes B_1 and B_2 from within the sample.

8.2.4 Using the digitizing oscilloscope (A/D device), individually window, digitize, average, and save the time-domain echo waveforms F_0 , F , B_1 and B_2 . Repeat and average the measurements at several arbitrary positions on the test sample.

8.2.4.1 Using the time synthesizer (A/D delay device), determine and save the time delays for starting the individual windows containing echo waveforms F_0 , F , B_1 and B_2 to acquire the waveforms under program control sequentially.

8.2.4.2 Adjust (and program) the oscilloscope time base (A/D device) to optimize horizontal (time) resolution of echo waveforms F_0 , F , B_1 and B_2 by allowing each to fill 60 to 80 % of the window.

8.2.4.3 Adjust (and program) the A/D device or the oscilloscope vertical amplifier to optimize (scale) the vertical (voltage) resolution of echo waveforms F_0 , F , B_1 and B_2 .

8.2.4.4 Sample and average a number of sweeps to accumulate a minimum of 512-element waveform array for each echo. Average 32 to 64 transient waveforms for each echo before storing its waveform record.

8.3 The attenuation coefficient $\alpha(f)$ as a function of ultrasonic frequency f is determined from the power spectra of the back surface echo waveforms,

$$\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{2d} \ln \left(\frac{B_1(f)R(f)}{B_2(f)} \right) \tag{1}$$

where:

- d = sample thickness,
- $B_1(f)$ and $B_2(f)$ = frequency-dependent power spectra of the first and second back surface echoes, B_1 and B_2 , respectively, and
- $R(f)$ = measured frequency-dependent reflection coefficient (6),

$$R(f) = \frac{F(f)}{F_0(f)} \tag{2}$$

where:

- $F_0(f)$ = power spectrum of the echo returned by the free surface of the buffer rod and
- $F(f)$ = power spectrum of the echo returned from the end of the buffer rod when it is coupled with the test sample surface.

8.3.1 Generate magnitude (amplitude) spectra of the echo waveforms F_0 , F , B_1 and B_2 by performing a digital fast Fourier transform (FFT) on each after it has been signal-averaged, digitized, and saved.

8.3.2 Convert the magnitude (amplitude) spectra to power spectra by squaring the modulus of the FFT. Power spectra conform with attenuation and reflection coefficients as defined herein (intensity, not pressure). This method avoids the need to account for waveform inversions (positive-to-negative or negative-to-positive pressure inversions) at interfaces (1).

8.3.3 Experimentally determine the reflection coefficient R (f) in accordance with Eq 2. Use Table X1.1 to estimate lower and upper bounds R_s and R_c , respectively, on $R(f)$ as follows:




FIG. 3 Schematic of Signal Acquisition and Data-Processing Stages for Determining Frequency Dependence of Attenuation Coefficient by Using Broadband Ultrasonic Pulse-Echo Method

8.3.3.1 R_s , the lower bound on $R(f)$, is estimated using the acoustic impedances of the buffer rod material, Z_b , and the test sample, Z_s , that is, $R_s = [(Z_s - Z_b)/(Z_s + Z_b)]$.

8.3.3.2 R_c , the upper bound on $R(f)$, is estimated using the acoustic impedances of the buffer rod material, Z_b , and the couplant, Z_c , that is, $R_c = [(Z_c - Z_b)/(Z_c + Z_b)]^2$.

8.3.3.3 $R(f)$ should be a monotonic function of frequency in the *valid frequency range* to be defined hereinafter for the power spectra $B_1(f)$ and $B_2(f)$.

8.3.4 Examine the spectra $B_1(f)$ and $B_2(f)$. Using Fig. 4 as a guide, accept only those spectra that are essentially gaussian. If either spectrum of $B_1(f)$ or $B_2(f)$ is distorted, discard both and reacquire fresh waveforms F_0 , F , B_1 and B_2 .

8.3.4.1 Distorted, nongaussian spectra may be due to multiple reverberations and interference effects within the couplant layer when it is nonuniform or too thick (5).

8.3.4.2 Distorted spectra will arise if there is an electronic impedance mismatch in the coaxial cable connection from the probe to the pulser-receiver or if there are imperfections in the probe's internal structure (for example, in the bond between the piezocrystal and buffer).

8.3.4.3 Distorted spectra may also arise if the test sample material has a coating, substrate layer, or otherwise fails to meet the requirements given herein.

8.3.4.4 Distorted spectra may be unavoidable due to inherent material anomalies. In this case there should be a systematic annotation for "flagging" samples that produce distorted spectra. Such samples should be subjected to further examinations to discover and reveal any overt flaws or material gradients present.

8.3.5 Determine the *valid frequency range* for $B_1(f)$ and $B_2(f)$ as follows:

NOTE 1—The method described herein for determining the *valid frequency range* is empirically based and has been found to include the greatest span of useful spectral data.

8.3.5.1 Take the first and the second derivative of the power spectrum $B_2(f)$ as indicated in Fig. 5. Use the first maximum of the first derivative of $B_2(f)$ to set the lower bound, f_l , on the *valid frequency range* and use the second maximum of the second derivative of $B_2(f)$ to set the upper bound, f_u , on the *valid frequency range*. This can be accomplished only if the spectra of all the echoes are essentially gaussian and free of interference effects.

8.3.5.2 Plot the logarithm of the ratio $B_2(f)/B_1(f)$ as a function of frequency. Using only values within the *valid frequency range*, fit a *power ratio curve* to the $B_2(f)/B_1(f)$ data, as in Fig. 6. On the same plot show R_s , R_c , f_l , and f_u .

8.3.5.3 Use the *power ratio curve* to evaluate the acceptability of the measured waveform and spectral data. The *power ratio curve* should terminate between R_s and R_c when extrapolated to zero frequency.

8.3.5.4 The measured $B_2(f)/B_1(f)$ data between the lower frequency f_l and the upper frequency f_u should form a smooth arc and fit the *power ratio curve* with a correlation coefficient ≥ 0.99 .

8.3.5.5 Accept and use only measured $B_2(f)/B_1(f)$ data that lie within the *valid frequency range*, that is, from f_l and f_u . Data between zero frequency and the lower frequency f_l will include diffraction effects while data at frequencies greater than f_u are afflicted by low signal-to-noise ratios.

8.4 Using only measured data in the *valid frequency range*, form the product $B_1(f)R(f)/B_2(f)$ and use Eq 1 to determine the attenuation coefficient as a function of ultrasonic frequency, $\alpha(f)$.





FIG. 4 Waveforms and Frequency Spectra Associated with Acceptable and Unacceptable Signals



NOTE 1—The truncated version of the spectrum of B_2 in (a) is used to obtain the first and second derivatives in (b). The derivatives are used as indicated by the vertical markers to define the “valid zone” or usable frequency range.

FIG. 5 Frequency Spectra and the First and Second Derivatives of the Spectra for Typical Signals after Digitization and Computer Processing




FIG. 6 Attenuation Spectrum

8.4.1 Exhibit the result as a log-log plot of α versus f as shown in Fig. 6.

8.4.2 Using linear regression fit the function $\alpha'(f) = c f^m$ to the logarithm of the $\alpha(f)$ data within the *valid frequency range* (see Fig. 6). The regressed $\alpha'(f)$ line should fit the measured $\alpha(f)$ data with a correlation coefficient ≥ 0.99 .

8.4.3 The quantities c and m in $\alpha'(f) = c f^m$ depend on the sample material properties and its microstructure (7). The exponent m will have a value in the range from roughly 2 to 4, depending on the inherent nature of the sample and the degree to which either stochastic or Rayleigh dominates the scatter attenuation process.

8.4.4 The $\alpha'(f)$ function will not always fit the measured $\alpha(f)$ data within the *valid frequency range* because they may include transitions from Rayleigh to stochastic to diffusion losses (7).

9. Report

9.1 Report the following information regarding the test sample or part examined:

9.1.1 ASTM or other standard designation of the material.

9.1.2 Heat treatment or other conditioning of the material (for example, sintering or hot pressing of ceramics, thermal aging of metals).

9.1.3 Microstructure (for example, mean grain size, second phase content, percent porosity, mean pore size) including representative photomicrographs.

9.1.4 Sample thickness, lateral size, surface finish, density, and ultrasonic velocity in the material (at the center frequency of the probe).

9.2 Report the following information regarding attenuation coefficients and the attenuation spectrum. (For convenience in calibration and reference, attenuation measurements using the pulse-echo contact technique are given herein for selected materials, see Appendix X2.)

9.2.1 Measured attenuation coefficient, α , in Np/cm for several specific frequencies within the *valid frequency range* (for example, for $f = 10, 20, 50, 70,$ and 100 MHz).

9.2.2 Log-log plot of the measured attenuation spectrum, the $\alpha(f)$ versus f data, within the *valid frequency range*.

9.2.3 Values of c and m for the regressed $\alpha'(f) = c f^m$ curve and value of its correlation coefficient with respect to measure $\alpha(f)$ data.

9.2.3.1 The function $\alpha'(f) = c f^m$ will usually fit the data for the limited span of frequencies within the probe bandwidth if it is within the Rayleigh scatter regime (7).

9.2.3.2 A separate function of the same form may have to be used if the bandwidth extends into the stochastic scatter regime at the high frequency end of the spectrum (1).

9.2.4 Specimens of digitized waveforms F_0, F, B_1 and B_2 and their corresponding power spectra $F_0(f), F(f), B_1(f)$ and $B_2(f)$.

9.3 Report the following information regarding the apparatus:

9.3.1 *Description of the Buffered Probe (Search Unit):*

9.3.1.1 Center frequency and bandwidth, case size/diameter.

9.3.1.2 Piezoelectric crystal (transducer) material.

9.3.1.3 Buffer rod material, length, and diameter.

9.3.1.4 Wave mode: longitudinal or shear wave.

9.3.2 Description/nature of the couplant fluid/material and coupling pressure.

9.3.3 Description of the pulser-receiver, bandwidth, and settings.

9.3.4 Diagram of mechanical apparatus and computer system.

10. Precision and Bias

10.1 Because of the nature of the materials and lack of a wide database on advanced ceramics, no definitive statement

can be made at this time concerning the precision and bias of this test method. However, the following rules should be observed in order to optimize precision and accuracy.

10.2 *Sample Geometry*—The test sample may consist of a selected region or zone on a finished part or component but it shall be designed to allow probe access and conform to the constraints given hereinafter for test samples.

10.3 *Sample Purity*—The test sample should be free of cracks, inclusions, voids, and other defects. The sample or part examined should represent the characteristics and microstructural features inherent to the material in its pristine state or as conditioned by processing or as degraded by exposure service conditions.

10.4 *Sample Thickness*—There are six criteria for test sample thickness.

10.4.1 To resolve and window individual back surface echoes and prevent echo overlaps, the test sample thickness should be at least 5 times the wavelength of sound in the material at the transducer's center frequency. At center frequencies greater than 20 to 30 MHz, this allows rather thin, wafer-like ceramic and metallic samples. Therefore, the following additional criteria should be applied.

10.4.2 The thickness of the test sample should be sufficient to allow a significant amount of attenuation by the microstructure. A sample thickness of roughly 10 or more grain/crystallite diameters allows multiple scattering and hence a better measure of Rayleigh or stochastic scatter attenuation.

10.4.3 The test sample thickness should be great enough to allow precise micrometric measurement of its thickness to within 0.1 %.

10.4.4 The test sample thickness shall be small enough to return two strong back surface echoes to the transducer. If the sample is too thick, the second and possibly the first back surface echo may be attenuated so much as to be unusable.

10.4.5 If the test sample is too thick, the ultrasonic beam will be subject to appreciable diffraction (or beam spreading) which shows up as apparent attenuation. Apparent attenuation due to diffraction is proportional to sample thickness and ultrasound wavelength and inversely proportional to the aperture or area of the piezocrystal (8). Diffraction or beam spreading also increases with each successive back surface echo. Diffraction losses can be minimized by reducing sample thickness. Other methods for excluding or correcting for diffraction losses are given herein.

10.4.6 Buffered probes are usually manufactured with a fixed buffer rod length, that is, fixed delay. In this case, the test sample thickness should be such that this fixed buffer rod delay amounts to 3 times the interval between the first and second back surface echo.

10.5 *Lateral Dimensions*—The lateral dimensions (diagonal/diameter of front/back surface) of the test sample should be about 3 times the ultrasound beam width emanating from the probe buffer rod. No side-wall echoes should be apparent or superimposed on echoes reflected by the front and back surfaces.

10.6 *Plane-Parallel Faces*—Test samples or parts should have opposing front and back surfaces that are planar and parallel to within ± 0.1 %.

10.7 *Surface Condition*—Samples should have smooth-machined, 600-grit polished or diamond ground front and back surfaces. The surface front and back surface roughness should be about 1 μm peak-to-peak or smoother or the surface roughness should not exceed 1 % of the transducer's ultrasonic wavelength at its center frequency.

10.8 *Geometric Similarity*—Successive test samples should be as exactly alike as possible for comparing their attenuation coefficients and attenuation spectra. Samples of different materials or samples that have undergone mechanical, thermal, or environmental treatments should not differ in thickness, flatness, parallelness, or surface condition by more than 5 %.

10.9 *Calibration Standards*—Monolithic ceramic and metallic calibration standards and samples for compiling an attenuation reference database for a series of materials should have a thickness no less than 3 mm and no more than 5 mm and front/back surface diagonals/diameters of no less than 3 cm.

11. Remarks

11.1 Attenuation coefficients and attenuation spectra may be determined by Eq 1 and Eq 2 using magnitude spectra rather than power spectra of waveforms.

11.2 Most of the attenuation data currently in the literature has been based on magnitude rather than power spectra. Reported attenuation values based on magnitude spectra will be half the values based on power spectra.

11.3 This test method recommends use of power spectra for consistency with the energy-based versus pressure-based definitions of attenuation and reflection coefficients. This only requires squaring digitized FFT array values during signal processing. An advantage is gained because this procedure exaggerates noise and anomalies in the spectra and makes them more obvious during inspection and acceptance or rejection of echo waveforms.

11.4 Attenuation reports should indicate whether power or magnitude spectra and corresponding reflection coefficients (squared or unsquared) were used.

11.5 This test method is based on and recommends the single pulse-echo contact buffered probe technique. Other attenuation measurement techniques involve two probes directly opposite each other with the sample between them (1). Two-probe techniques which use through-transmission rather than pulse-echoes may be appropriate with wire, long bars, or thick pieces.

11.6 The pulse-echo technique has the advantage of not having to account for probe properties in the attenuation equation. This is true if precautions are taken to assure that the probe is constructed well and does not distort successive back surface echo waveforms.

11.7 Although this test method imposes severe constraints on test samples and parts, they are necessary to attain valid attenuation measurements on laboratory samples or coupons taken from material processing lines. Design accommodations would be necessary to apply this test method to actual structural components during manufacturing or service examination.

11.8 In practice, during material processing, manufacturing of parts, or service examination, it may be unnecessary to acquire attenuation spectra. Instead, attenuation measured at a

specific frequency may suffice to monitor microstructural changes or the relative condition (health) of a material part.

11.9 This test method can be a basis for monitoring variations of physical and mechanical properties such as density or fracture toughness (**3, 9, 10, 11**).

ics; nondestructive evaluation; polycrystalline metals; pulse-echo technique; structural composites; ultrasonic attenuation; ultrasonics

12. Keywords

12.1 attenuation coefficient; attenuation spectrum; material microstructure; materials characterization; monolithic ceram-

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. ACOUSTIC IMPEDANCE OF SELECTED MATERIALS


X1.1 See [Table X1.1](#).

TABLE X1.1 Acoustic Impedance of Selected Materials

| Material | Application | Density, g/(cm) ³ | Longitudinal Velocity, cm/μs | Acoustic Impedance, g/(cm) ² μs |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Glycerine | Couplant layer | 1.26 | 0.192 | 0.24 |
| Methylene iodide | | 3.33 | 0.098 | 0.33 |
| Water (20°C) | | 1.00 | 0.148 | 0.15 |
| Teflon | | 2.2 | 0.135 | 0.30 |
| Rubber (soft) | | 1.3 to 2.1 | 0.148 | 0.19 to 0.31 |
| Motor oil (SAE 20) | | 0.89 to 0.96 | 0.174 | 0.15 to 0.17 |
| Fused quartz | Buffer rod | 2.2 | 0.595 | 1.31 |
| Quartz glass | | 2.2 | 0.557 | 1.23 |
| Flint glass | | 3.6 | 0.426 | 0.15 |
| Acrylic resin | | 1.18 | 0.27 | 0.32 |
| Polystyrene | | 1.05 | 0.267 | 0.28 |
| Mild steel | Test sample | 7.85 | 0.596 | 4.68 |
| Stainless steel | | 7.72 | 0.598 | 4.62 |
| Maraging steel (200) | | 8.03 | 0.56 | 4.5 |
| Titanium-6Al-6V-2Sn | | 4.52 | 0.612 | 2.77 |
| Aluminum | | 2.7 | 0.632 | 1.7 |
| Copper | | 8.9 | 0.47 | 4.2 |
| Aluminum oxide | | 3.98 | 1.1 | 4.4 |
| Silicon Carbide (alpha) | | 3.22 | 1.22 | 3.93 |
| Silicon Nitride | | 3.30 | 1.12 | 3.70 |
| Tungsten carbide (cobalt matrix) | | 13 to 14 | 0.66 to 0.69 | 8.58 to 10.95 |
| YBCO (YBa ₂ Cu ₃ O _{7-x}) (superconductor) | | 6.36 | 0.539 | 3.43 |


X2. REFERENCE ATTENUATION SPECTRA FOR SELECTED MATERIALS

X2.1 See [Figs. X2.1-X2.3](#).




NOTE 1—The quantities c and m are tabulated for the attenuation spectra based on $\alpha(f) = c f^m$.

FIG. X2.1 Attenuation Spectra for Three Cobalt-Cemented Tungsten Carbide Samples (9)



NOTE 1—The quantities c and m are tabulated for the attenuation spectra based on $\alpha(f) = c f^m$.

FIG. X2.2 Attenuation Spectra for Three Samples of the Two-Phase Titanium Alloy Ti-662 (10)



NOTE 1—The quantities c and m are tabulated for the attenuation spectra based on $\alpha(f) = c f^m$.

FIG. X2.3 Attenuation Spectra for Four Maraging Steel Samples (11)

REFERENCES

- (1) Krautkrämer, J., and Krautkrämer, H., *Ultrasonic Testing of Materials*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1969. n
- (2) Papadakis, E. P., "Ultrasonic Velocity and Attenuation: Measurement Methods with Scientific and Industrial Applications," *Physical Acoustics—Principles and Methods*, Mason & Thurston, eds., Vol XII, 1976, pp. 277–374. n
- (3) Vary, A., "Material Property Characterization," Section 12, *Nondestructive Testing Handbook-Ultrasonic Testing*, Vol 7, Birks, A. S., Green, R. E., Jr., and McIntire, P., eds., American Society for Nondestructive Testing, Columbus, OH, 1991, pp. 383–431. n
- (4) Roth, et al., "Quantitative Mapping of Pore Fraction Variations in Silicon Nitride Using an Ultrasonic Contact Scan Method," NASA TM-3378, 1993. n
- (5) Vary, A., "Simulation of Transducer-Couplant Effects in Broadband Ultrasonic Signals," *International Advances in Nondestructive Testing*, Vol 8, McGonagle, W. J., ed., Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1981, pp. 167–200. n
- (6) Generazio, E. R., "The Role of Reflection Coefficient in Precision Measurement of Ultrasonic Attenuation," *Materials Evaluation*, Vol 43, No. 8, 1985, pp. 995–1004. n
- (7) Vary, A., and Kautz, H. E., "Transfer Function Concept for Ultrasonic Characterization of Material Microstructures," *International Advances in Nondestructive Testing*, Vol 13, McGonagle, W. J., ed., Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1988, pp. 193–250. n
- (8) Papadakis, E. P., "Ultrasonic Diffraction from Single Apertures with Application to Pulse Measurements and Crystal Physics," *Physical Acoustics-Principles and Methods*, Mason & Thurston, eds., Vol XI, 1975, pp. 151–211. n
- (9) Vary, A., and Hull, D. R., "Ultrasonic Ranking of Toughness of Tungsten Carbide," NASA TM-83358, 1983.
- (10) Vary, A., and Hull, D. R., "Interrelation of Material Microstructure, Ultrasonic Factors, and Fracture Toughness of a Two-Phase Titanium Alloy," *Materials Evaluation*, Vol 41, No. 3, 1983, pp. 309–314.
- (11) Vary, A., "Correlations Among Ultrasonic Propagation Factors and Fracture Toughness Properties in Metallic Materials," *Materials Evaluation*, Vol 36, No. 7, 1978, pp. 55–64.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).