BS 5628-1: 1992 Incorporating Amendments Nos. 1 and 2

# Code of practice for use of masonry —

Part 1: Structural use of unreinforced masonry





NO COPYING WITHOUT BSI PERMISSION EXCEPT AS PERMITTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW

# Committees responsible for this British Standard

The preparation of this British Standard was entrusted by the Technical Committee B/525, Building and Civil Engineering Structures, to Subcommittee B/525/6, Use of masonry, upon which the following bodies were represented:

Association of Consulting Engineers Autoclaved Aerated Concrete Products Association Brick Development Association British Ceramic Research Ltd. British Masonry Society British Precast Concrete Federation Ltd. Calcium Silicate Brick Association Concrete Block Association Department of the Environment (Building Research Establishment) Department of the Environment (Construction Directorate) Department of the Environment (Property Services Agency) Institution of Civil Engineers Institution of Structural Engineers National House Building Council Royal Institute of British Architects

This British Standard, having been prepared under the direction of the Technical Committee B/525, was published under the authority of the Standards Board and comes into effect on 15 December 1992

© BSI 9 August 2002

First published October 1978 Second edition December 1992

The following BSI references relate to the work on this standard:

Committee reference B/525 Draft for comment 89/17317 DC

ISBN 0 580 21113 4

#### Amendments issued since publication

Amd. No.	Date	Comments
7745	July 1993	
13680	9 August 2002	Indicated by a sideline

# Contents

		Page
Con	nmittees responsible	Inside front cover
For	eword	iv
Sec	tion 1. General	
1	Scope	1
2	References	1
3	Definitions	1
4	Symbols	3
<b>5</b>	Alternative materials and methods of design and constru	ction 4
Sec	tion 2. Materials, components and workmanship	
6	General	5
7	Structural units	5
8	Laying of structural units	5
9	Rate of laying	5
10	Forming of chases and holes	5
11	Pallet slips	6
12	Damp proof courses	6
13	Wall ties	6
14	Cements	6
15	Mortars	6
16	Colouring agents	6
17	Plasticizers	6
18	Frost inhibitors	6
Sec	tion 3. Design: objectives and general recommendations	
19	Basis of design	9
20	Stability	9
21	Loads	10
22	Design loads: partial safety factor $\gamma_{\mathrm{f}}$	10
23	Characteristic compressive strength of masonry, $f_{\rm k}$	11
24	Characteristic flexural strength of masonry, $f_{\rm kx}$	16
25	Characteristic shear strength of masonry, $f_{\rm v}$	17
26	Coefficient of friction	17
27	Partial safety factors for material strength, $\gamma_m$	17
Sec	tion 4. Design: detailed considerations	
28	Consideration of slenderness of walls and columns	21
29	Special types of wall	24
30	Eccentricity in the plane of the wall	27
31	Eccentricity at right angles to the wall	27
32	Walls and columns subjected to vertical loading	28
33	Walls subjected to shear forces	30
34	Concentrated loads: stresses under and close to a bearing	g 30
35	Composite action between walls and their supporting bea	ums 33
36	Walls subjected to lateral load	35

Page
------

Section 5. Design: accidental damage	
37 Design: accidental damage	49
Appendix A Testing	54
Appendix B Derivation of $\beta$	59
Appendix C Connections to floors and roofs by means of metal anchors and joist hangers capable of resisting lateral movement	60
Appendix D Laterally loaded panels of irregular shape, or those containing openings	69
Index	71
Figure 1a) — Characteristic compressive strength of brick masonry, $f_k$ , [see Table 2a)]	12
Figure 1b) — Characteristic compressive strength, $f_k$ , of block masonry constructed with blocks having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of 0.6 [see Table 2b)]	13
Figure 1c) — Characteristic compressive strength, $f_k$ , of block masonry constructed with hollow blocks having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 2.0 and 4.0 [see Table 2c)]	13
Figure 1d) — Characteristic compressive strength, $f_k$ , of block masonry constructed from solid concrete blocks having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 2.0 and 4.0 [see Table 2d)]	14
Figure 2 — Shear forces acting in the horizontal and vertical planes	18
Figure 3 — Effective thickness of columns and walls	22
Figure 4 — Load distribution from loading eccentric to plane of wall	28
Figure 5 — Concentrated loads: types of bearing	31
Figure 6 — Concentrated loads: load distribution	34
Figure 7 — Vertical support conditions for laterally loaded panels	36
Figure 8 — Horizontal support conditions	37
Figure 9 — Testing of wallettes	57
Figure 10 — Stress block under ultimate conditions	60
Figure 11 — Timber floor bearing directly on to wall	61
Figure 12 — Timber floor using typical joist hanger	62
Figure 13 — Timber floor using nailed or bolted joist hangers	20
acting as tie	62
Figure 14 — Joist hanger as tie: nailed form	63
Figure 15 — Joist hanger as tie: bolted form	63
Figure 16 — In-situ concrete floor abutting external cavity wall	64 C4
Figure 17 — Beam and pot floor abutting external cavity wall	64 07
Figure 18 — Precast units abutting external cavity wall	69 CF
Figure 19 — Timber floor abutting external cavity wall	60
Figure 20 — Timber floor using double joist hanger acting as the	66 66
Figure 21 — Timber floor during typical joist hanger	66 67
Figure $22 - 1$ inder noor abutting internal wall Figure $23 - 1$ is situ floor obutting internal wall	67 67
Figure 20 — III-Situ moor abutting internal wall Figure 24 — Beam and not floor abutting internal wall	69
Figure $25$ — Deam and pot noor abutting internal wall Figure $25$ — Process units shutting internal wall	60
Figure 26 — Dividing a nanal into narts to allow for openings	60 60
i igaio ao any iunig a panor muo parto to anow tor opennigo	00

© BSI 9 August 2002

	Page
Table 1 — Requirements for mortar	7
Table 2 — Characteristic compressive strength of masonry, $f_{\rm k},$ in N/mm²	15
Table 3 — Characteristic flexural strength of masonry, $f_{\rm kx}$ , in N/mm <sup>2</sup>	19
Table 4a) — Partial safety factors for material strength — Compression	γ <sub>m</sub> 20
Table 4b) — Partial safety factors for material strength — Flexure, $\gamma_{\rm m}$	20
Table 5 — Stiffness coefficient for walls stiffened by piers	23
Table 6 — Selection of wall ties: types and lengths	25
Table 7 — Capacity reduction factor, $\beta$	29
Table 8 — Characteristic strengths of walls ties used as panel supports	38
Table 9 — Bending moment coefficients in laterally loaded wall panels	41
Table 10 — Factor $k$	47
Table 11 — Loadbearing elements	49
Table 12 — Detailed accidental damage recommendations	51
Table 13 — Requirements for full peripheral, internal and column	
or wall ties	52
Table 14 — Requirements for full vertical ties	53
Table 15 — Reduction factor, $\psi_{\mathrm{m}}$ , for mortar strength	56
Publication(s) referred to	70

### Foreword

This Part of BS 5628 has been prepared under the direction of Technical Committee B/525, Building and Civil Engineering Structures. It supersedes BS 5628-1:1978, which is withdrawn.

This edition of BS 5628-1 introduces technical changes, but it does not reflect a full review or revision of the standard which will be undertaken in due course.

This Part uses limit state philosophy, in accordance with practice in other codes. The 1948, 1964 and 1970 editions of CP 111 were in permissible stress terms, and a section on reinforced masonry walls was included. Reinforced masonry has been excluded from this Part of BS 5628, as the subject is dealt with in Part 2. Plain concrete walls are covered in BS 8110.

The use of limit state enables the degree of risk to be varied by the choice of different partial safety factors. It has been recognized in this Part that masonry is built to differing standards of workmanship and, therefore, the partial safety factors have reflected this.

In view of the widespread demand for guidance on the design of walls to resist lateral loading, a section on this subject was incorporated in the 1978 edition. This was based on a large volume of research work. This new edition includes a comprehensive method of design for proposed cantilever walls for certain types of single storey buildings under wind loading.

It has become necessary, in structural design, to ensure explicitly that a building will not collapse catastrophically as the result of misuse or accident. The recommendations dealing with this requirement reflect the available theoretical and research studies on the behaviour of elements and structures under extreme conditions. Whilst some aspects of the work require further study, the guidance given represents a balanced combination of facts and engineering judgement.

A British Standard does not purport to include all the necessary provisions of a contract. Users of British Standards are responsible for their correct application.

Compliance with a British Standard does not of itself confer immunity from legal obligations.

#### Summary of pages

This document comprises a front cover, an inside front cover, pages i to iv, pages 1 to 71 and a back cover.

The BSI copyright notice displayed in this document indicates when the document was last issued.

Sidelining in this document indicates the most recent changes by amendment.

# Section 1. General

#### 1 Scope

This Part of BS 5628 gives recommendations for the structural design of unreinforced masonry constructed of brick masonry, block masonry, masonry of square dressed natural stone, and random rubble masonry.

The thickness of a wall determined from strength considerations may not always be sufficient to satisfy requirements for other properties of the wall such as resistance to fire, thermal insulation, sound insulation or resistance to damp penetration and reference should be made to BS 5628-3, as appropriate.

It has been assumed in the drafting of this code that the design of masonry is entrusted to chartered structural or civil engineers or other appropriately qualified persons, for whose guidance it has been prepared, and that the execution of the work is carried out under the direction of appropriately qualified supervisors.

#### 2 References

The titles of the standards publications referred to in this code are listed on page 70.

#### **3 Definitions**

For the purposes of this Part of BS 5628 the following definitions apply.

#### 3.1

#### actual dimension

either the work size of the unit or, where applicable for solid walls, the sum of the work size of the units together with the work size of the joints between them

#### 3.2

#### category 1 building

a building having four storeys (including basement storeys), or less

#### 3.3

#### category 2 building

a building having five storeys (including basement storeys), or more

#### **3.4**

#### characteristic load

ideally, where the load acts unfavourably, the load which has a probability of not more than 5 % of being exceeded or, where the load acts favourably, the load which has a probability of at least 95 % of being exceeded. In practice, the load obtained from the appropriate British Standard

#### 3.5

#### characteristic strength of masonry

the value of the strength of masonry below which the probability of test results falling is not more than 5 %

#### 3.6

#### compressive strength of structural units

for the normal category of manufacturing control, the strength of a sample tested according to the appropriate British Standard

NOTE  $\$  When manufacturing control is within the special category as defined in **27.2.1.2**, compressive strength is taken as the acceptance limit, therein defined.

#### 3.7

#### column

an isolated vertical loadbearing member whose width is not more than four times its thickness

#### 3.8

#### design load

the characteristic load multiplied by a partial safety factor for loads

#### 3.9

#### design strength

the characteristic strength divided by a partial safety factor for material strength

# azmanco.com

#### 3.10

#### effective height or length

the height or length of a wall, pier or column assumed for calculating the slenderness ratio

#### 3.11

#### effective thickness

the thickness of a wall, pier or column assumed for calculating the slenderness ratio

#### 3.12

#### laterally loaded wall panels

walls subjected mainly to loads normal to the face of the wall

#### 3.13

ົດ

Ď

0

Copv.

Uncontrolled

2002.

December

Sheffield,

ď

University

University.

Sheffield

icensed Copv:

#### lateral support

the support, in relation to a wall or pier, which will restrict movement in the direction of the thickness of the wall or, in relation to a column, which will restrict movement in the direction of its thickness or width. Lateral supports may be horizontal or vertical

#### 3.14

#### loadbearing walls

walls primarily designed to carry an imposed vertical load in addition to their own weight

#### 3.15

#### masonry

an assemblage of structural units, either laid in-situ or constructed in prefabricated panels, in which the structural units are bonded and solidly put together with mortar or grout. Masonry may be reinforced or unreinforced

#### 3.16

#### orthogonal ratio

the ratio of the flexural strength of masonry when failure is parallel to the bed joints to that when failure is perpendicular to the bed joints

#### 3.17

#### pier

a member which forms an integral part of a wall, in the form of a thickened section placed at intervals along the wall

#### 3.18

#### protected member

a structural member capable of resisting a specified pressure

NOTE See Section 5.

#### 3.19

#### slenderness ratio

the ratio of the effective height or length to the effective thickness

#### 3.20

structural units bricks or blocks or square dressed natural stone

# 3.21 Types of wall

#### 3.21.1

#### single-leaf wall

a wall of bricks or blocks laid to overlap in one or more directions and set solidly in mortar

#### 3.21.2

#### double-leaf (collar-jointed) wall

two parallel single-leaf walls, with a space between not exceeding 25 mm, filled solidly with mortar and so tied together as to result in common action under load

#### 3.21.3

#### cavity wall

two parallel single-leaf walls, usually at least 50 mm apart, and effectively tied together with wall ties, the space between being left as a continuous cavity or filled with non-loadbearing material

#### 3.21.4

#### grouted cavity wall

two parallel single-leaf walls, spaced at least 50 mm apart, effectively tied together with wall ties and with the intervening cavity filled with fine aggregate concrete (grout), which may be reinforced, so as to result in common action under load

#### 3.21.5

#### faced wall

a wall in which the facing and backing are so bonded as to result in common action under load

#### 3.21.6

#### veneered wall

a wall having a facing which is attached to the backing, but not so bonded as to result in common action under load

#### 3.22

wallette

a small masonry panel constructed for test purposes

#### 4 Symbols

The following symbols are used in this code.

- A horizontal cross-sectional area
- B width of a bearing under a concentrated load
- b width of column
- $E_{\rm u}$  worst credible earth or water lateral load (see clause 21)
- $e_{\rm a}$  additional eccentricity due to deflection in walls
- $e_{\mathrm{x}}$  eccentricity at top of a wall
- $e_{\mathrm{t}}$  total design eccentricity in the mid-height region of a wall
- $e_{\rm m}$  the larger of  $e_{\rm x}$  or  $e_{\rm t}$
- $F_{\rm k}$  characteristic load
- $F_{\rm m}$  average of the maximum loads carried by two test panels
- $F_{\rm t}$  tie force

-icensed Copy: Sheffield University, University of Sheffield, 11 December 2002, Uncontrolled Copy, (c) BSI

- $f_{\rm k}$  characteristic compressive strength of masonry
- $f_{\rm kx}~$  characteristic flexural strength (tension) of masonry
- $f_{\rm v}$  characteristic shear strength of masonry
- $f_{\rm v}$  characteristic tensile strength of flat metal section (shear connector)
- $G_{\rm k}$  characteristic dead load
- $g_{\rm A}$  design vertical load per unit area
- $g_{
  m d}$  design vertical dead load per unit area
- h clear height of wall or column between lateral supports
- $h_{\rm a}$  clear height of wall between concrete surfaces or other construction capable of providing adequate resistance to rotation across the full thickness of a wall
- $h_{\mathrm{L}}$  clear height of wall to point of application of a lateral load
- $h_{\mathrm{ef}}$  effective height or length of wall or column
- k multiplication factor for lateral strength of axially loaded walls

# azmanco.com

- Κ stiffness coefficient L length a span in accidental damage calculation  $L_{\rm a}$  $N_{\rm s}$ number of storeys in a building naxial load per unit length of wall, available to resist an arch thrust design vertical load per unit length of wall  $n_{\rm w}$ acceptance limit for compressive strength of units  $p_{\lim}$ specified compressive strength of units  $p_0$ mean compressive strength of units  $p_{\rm u}$  $Q_{\rm k}$ characteristic imposed load design lateral strength per unit area  $q_{\text{lat}}$ width of flat metal section (shear connector) overall thickness of a wall or column effective thickness of a wall or column  $t_{\rm ef}$  $t_{\rm p}$ thickness of a pier  $t_1$ thickness of leaf 1 of a cavity wall thickness of leaf 2 of a cavity wall  $t_2$ thickness of flat metal section (shear connector)
  - $v_{\rm h}$  design shear stress
  - $W_{\mathrm{k}}~~\mathrm{characteristic}~\mathrm{wind}~\mathrm{load}$
- $y_{\rm u}$  deflection of test wall in the mid-height region
- Z section modulus
- $\alpha$  bending moment coefficient for laterally loaded panels
- $\beta$  capacity reduction factor for walls allowing for effects of slenderness and eccentricity
- $\gamma_{\rm f}$  partial safety factor for load
- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  partial safety factor for material
- $\gamma_{\rm mv}\,$  partial safety factor for material in shear
- $\mu$  orthogonal ratio
- $\psi_m$   $\;$  reduction factor for strength of mortar  $\;$
- $\psi_{\mathrm{u}}$  unit reduction factor

#### 5 Alternative materials and methods of design and construction

Where materials and methods are used that are not referred to by this code, their use is not discouraged, provided that the materials comply with the requirements of the appropriate British Standards and that the methods of design and construction are such as to ensure a standard of strength and durability at least equal to that recommended in this code.

Where materials or methods are used that are not referred to by this code or any other British Standard, they should be proven by test, and the test assembly should be representative, as to materials, workmanship and details, of the design and construction for which approval is desired, and should be built under conditions truly representative of the conditions in the actual building construction. Details of the testing procedures to determine the characteristic compressive strength and characteristic flexural strength of masonry are set out in A.2 and A.3 respectively.

BS

© BSI 9 August 2002

I

# Section 2. Materials, components and workmanship

#### 6 General

The materials, components and workmanship used in the construction of loadbearing walls should comply with the appropriate clause in BS 5628-3.

#### 7 Structural units

The following structural units should comply with the appropriate clauses in the relevant British Standard:

Calcium silicate (sandlime and flintlime) bricks	BS 187
Clay bricks	BS 3921
Dimensions of bricks of special shapes and sizes	BS 4729
Stone masonry	BS 5628-3
Precast concrete masonry units	BS 6073-1
Reconstructed stone masonry units	$\mathrm{BS}\ 6457$
Clay and calcium silicate modular bricks	BS 6649

Materials that have been previously used should not be re-used in masonry construction unless they have been thoroughly cleaned and conform to the code recommendations for similar new materials.

#### 8 Laying of structural units

#### 8.1 General

Structural units will normally be laid on their bed faces. In circumstances where units are used under load in another aspect, laid on stretcher or on end, the strength of the units should be determined for this aspect in accordance with the appropriate clauses of BS 187, BS 6073-1 and BS 3921.

#### 8.2 Bricks with frogs

Bricks should normally be laid on a full bed mortar<sup>1</sup>) with the frog or larger frog uppermost, which should be filled with mortar as the work proceeds.

#### 8.3 Perforated bricks

Perforated bricks and bricks defined as solid according to BS 187 and BS 3921, but having perforations passing through them, should be laid on a full width bed of mortar<sup>1</sup>). The bedding mortar for divided joint units should be laid by means of a mortar tray in accordance with the brickmaker's recommendations. The perforations should only be filled with mortar as required in BS 5628-3 or when specified by the designer.

#### 8.4 Hollow and cellular blocks

#### 8.4.1 Hollow clay blocks

Hollow clay blocks may have horizontal or vertical perforations. The blocks should be laid on a full bed of mortar<sup>1</sup>), except those with vertical perforations which are designed to be laid with a divided bed joint in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations.

#### 8.4.2 Hollow and cellular concrete blocks

Hollow and cellular concrete blocks complying with the requirements of BS 6073-1 are normally laid on a full bed of mortar<sup>1</sup>). Occasionally such blocks are laid with the bed joint separated into two parallel strips.

#### 9 Rate of laying

The maximum height that should normally be built in a day is 1.5 m.

#### 10 Forming of chases and holes

Chasing of completed walls or the formation of holes should be carried out only when approved by the designer and then in accordance with BS 5628-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1)</sup> When bed joints are raked out for pointing allowance should be made in design for the resulting loss of strength.

### 11 Pallet slips

Timber pallet slips or other material for fixings should not be built into walls without the approval of the designer.

#### **12 Damp proof courses**

Damp proof courses should comply with the requirements of one of the British Standards, as appropriate, specified in clause **4.7** of BS 5628-3:2001. In addition the recommendations of **5.6** of BS 8215 should be taken into account.

Designers should pay particular attention to the characteristics of the materials chosen for damp proof courses. Materials which squeeze out are undesirable in highly stressed walls, and the effect of sliding at the damp proof course should be considered especially in relation to lateral loading. In general, advice on the resistance to compression, tension, sliding and shear should be sought from the manufacturers of the damp proof course.

Methods of test for damp proof courses are described in DD 86-1 and DD 86-2.

## 13 Wall ties

Wall ties should comply with BS 1243 or meet the recommendations of DD 140-2 (see also 36.2).

In situations of severe exposure, or where required by building regulations, suitable stainless steel or non-ferrous ties should be used.

#### 14 Cements

Cement should comply with the requirements of one of the following British Standards: BS 12, BS 146, BS 4027 and BS 5224.

The use of high alumina cement is not permitted.

#### 15 Mortars

#### 15.1 General

The mixing and use of mortars should be in accordance with the recommendations given in BS 5628-3. The proportion of the materials and mean compressive strengths required are given in Table 1. When testing is required, it should be in accordance with A.1.

#### 15.2 Ready-mixed mortars

Ready-mixed lime : sand for mortar should comply with the requirements of BS 4721. The appropriate addition of cement should be gauged on site.

Wet ready-mixed retarded cement : lime : sand mixes should be used only with the written permission of the designer.

#### **16 Colouring agents**

Pigments should comply with the requirements of BS 1014 and should not exceed 10 % by mass of the cement in the mortar. Care should be taken to ensure that the pigment is evenly distributed throughout the mortar and that the strength of the mortar remains adequate. Carbon black should be limited to 3 % by mass of the cement.

### **17 Plasticizers**

Plasticizers should comply with the requirements of BS 4887 and should be used only with the written permission of the designer.

If plasticizers are used, it is important to ensure that the manufacturer's instructions about quantity and mixing time are carefully followed.

## 18 Frost inhibitors

The use of calcium chloride or frost inhibitors based on calcium chloride is not permitted in mortars.

	Mortar designation	Type of mor	tar (proportio	on by volume)	Mean compressive strength at 28 days		
		Cement : lime : sand	Masonry cement : sand	Cement : sand with plasticizer	Preliminary (laboratory) tests	Site tests	
					N/mm <sup>2</sup>	N/mm <sup>2</sup>	
▲ Increasing   Increasing ability	(i)	$1:0$ to $\frac{1}{4}:3$			16.0	11.0	
strength to accommodate movement, e.g.	(ii)	$1:\frac{1}{2}:4$ to $4\frac{1}{2}$	$1:2\frac{1}{2}$ to $3\frac{1}{2}$	1:3 to 4	6.5	4.5	
due to settlement,	(iii)	1:1:5 to 6	1:4 to $5$	1 : 5 to 6	3.6	2.5	
▼ moisture changes	(iv)	1:2:8 to 9	$1:5\frac{1}{2}$ to $6\frac{1}{2}$	1:7 to 8	1.5	1.0	
Direction of change in properties is shown by the arrows		Increasing resistance to frost attack during construction					
		Improveme resistance					

Table 1 — Requirements for mortar

Licensed Copy: Sheffield University, University of Sheffield, 11 December 2002, Uncontrolled Copy, (c) BSI  $^{lpha}$ 

# Section 3. Design: objectives and general recommendations

#### 19 Basis of design

The design of loadbearing masonry members should be undertaken primarily to ensure an adequate margin of safety against the ultimate limit state being reached. Generally, this is achieved by ensuring that the design strength of a member is greater than or equal to the design load. However, for some design situations, for example freestanding walls with no allowable flexural strength or axially loaded walls subject to lateral loads, the fundamental relationship which governs the design will be independent of the material strength and the partial safety factors associated with it.

The assessment of the characteristic compressive strength may be based either on the results of laboratory tests carried out on representative wall panels, as outlined in **A.2**, or on the information given in clause **23**.

The factor  $\gamma_m$  makes allowance for the variation in the quality of the materials and for the possible difference between the strength of masonry constructed under site conditions and that of specimens built in the laboratory for the purpose of establishing its physical properties.

The partial safety factors for loads ( $\gamma_f$ ), used in this code for the ultimate limit state, are based on those adopted in BS 8110.

 $\gamma_{\rm f}$  is introduced to take account of:

- a) possible unusual increases in load beyond those considered in deriving the characteristic load;
- b) inaccurate assessment of effects of loading, and unforeseen stress redistribution within the structure;
- c) the variations in dimensional accuracy achieved in construction.

The structural design should also ensure that there is an adequate margin of safety against a serviceability limit state being reached. In the case of the serviceability limit state of cracking due to axially applied service loads, an adequate margin may be assumed to exist when the design satisfies the ultimate limit state.

The risk of adverse effects on the structure, including non-loadbearing elements such as partitions, arising from expansion or contraction due to temperature and moisture changes, creep, settlement or deformation of flexural members, should be assessed. Where necessary, suitable details should be employed to maintain an adequate margin of safety against a limit state being reached.

#### 20 Stability

#### 20.1 General considerations

The designer responsible for the overall stability of the structure should ensure the compatibility of the design and details of parts and components. There should be no doubt of this responsibility for overall stability when some or all of the design and details are not made by the same designer.

To ensure a robust and stable design it will be necessary to consider the layout of structure on plan, returns at the ends of walls, interaction between intersecting walls and the interaction between masonry walls and the other parts of the structure.

The design recommendations in Section 4 assume that all the lateral forces acting on the whole structure are resisted by walls in planes parallel to these forces, or by suitable bracing.

As well as the above general considerations, attention should be given to the following recommendations:

a) buildings should be designed to be capable of resisting a uniformly distributed horizontal load equal to 1.5 % of the total characteristic dead load (i.e.  $0.015G_k$ ) above any level [see clause 22b) and clause 22c)];

b) connections of the type indicated in Appendix C should be provided as appropriate at floors and roofs.

#### 20.2 Earth retaining and foundation structures

The overall dimensions and stability of earth retaining and foundation structures (e.g. the area of pad footings, etc.) should be determined by appropriate geotechnical procedures, which are not considered in this code. However, in order to establish section sizes and strengths which will give adequate safety and serviceability without undue calculation, it is appropriate in normal design situations to apply values of  $\gamma_{\rm f}$  comparable to those applied to other forms of loading.

9

The partial safety factor for loads,  $(\gamma_f)$  should be applied to all earth and water loads unless they derive directly from loads which have already been factored in alternative ways to those described in clause 21 and clause 22, in which case the loads should be derived to achieve equilibrium with other design loads. When applying  $\gamma_f$ , no distinction is made between adverse and beneficial loads.

#### 20.3 Accidental forces

In addition to designing the structure to support loads arising from normal use, there should be a reasonable probability that it will not collapse catastrophically under the effect of misuse or accident. No structure can be expected to be resistant to the excessive loads or forces that could arise due to an extreme cause, but it should not be damaged to an extent disproportionate to the original cause.

Furthermore, owing to the nature of a particular occupancy or use of a structure (e.g. flour mill, chemical plant, etc.), it may be necessary in the design concept or a design appraisal to consider the effect of a particular hazard and to ensure that, in the event of an accident, there is an acceptable probability of the structure remaining after the event, even if in a damaged condition.

Where there is the possibility of vehicles running into and damaging or removing vital loadbearing members of the structure in the ground floor, the provision of bollards, walls, retaining earth banks, etc. should be considered.

#### **20.4 During construction**

The designer should consider whether special precautions or temporary propping are necessary to ensure the overall stability of the structure or of individual walls during construction.

#### 21 Loads

Ideally, the characteristic load on a structure should be determined statistically.

Since it is not yet possible to determine loads in this way, the following should be used as characteristic loads.

a) Characteristic dead load. The characteristic dead load  $G_k$  is the weight of the structure complete with finishes, fixtures and partitions and should be taken as equal to the dead load as defined in and calculated in accordance with BS 6399-1 and BS 6399-3.

b) Characteristic imposed load. The characteristic imposed load  $Q_k$  should be taken as the imposed load as defined in and calculated in accordance with BS 6399-2.

c) Characteristic wind load. The characteristic wind load  $W_k$  should be taken as the wind load calculated in accordance with BS 6399-2.

For the purposes of this standard, worst credible earth and water lateral loads,  $E_{\rm u}$ , should be obtained in accordance with BS 8002 (see also clause **22**).

#### 22 Design loads: partial safety factor, $\gamma_{\rm f}$

When using the design relationship for the ultimate limit state given in sections 4 and 5, the design load should be taken as the sum of the products of the component characteristic loads multiplied by the appropriate partial safety factor, as shown below. Where alternative values are shown, that producing the more severe conditions should be selected.

 $= 1.4 W_{\rm k}$ 

a)	Dead and imposed load	
	design dead load	$= 0.9G_{\rm k} \text{ or } 1.4G_{\rm k}$
	design imposed load	$= 1.6Q_{\rm k}$
	design worst credible earth and water lateral load	$= 1.2E_{\rm u}$
b)	Dead and wind load design dead load	$= 0.9G_{\rm b}$ or $1.4G_{\rm b}$

design worst credible earth and water lateral load =  $1.2E_{\rm u}$ In the particular case of freestanding walls and laterally loaded wall panels, whose removal would in no way affect the stability of the remaining structure,  $\gamma_{\rm f}$  applied on the wind load may be taken as 1.2.

design wind load

c)	Dead, imposed and wind load	
	design dead load	$= 1.2G_{\rm k}$
	design imposed load	$= 1.2Q_{\rm k}$
	design wind load	$= 1.2W_{\rm k}$
	design worst credible earth and water lateral load	$= 1.2E_{11}$

d) Accidental damage (see 37)

design dead load  $= 0.95G_k \text{ or } 1.05G_k$ 

design imposed load =  $0.35Q_k$  except that, in the case of buildings used predominantly for storage, or where the imposed load is of a permanent nature,  $1.05Q_k$  should be used

design wind load =  $0.35W_k$ 

For all these cases:

 $G_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the characteristic dead load;

- $Q_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic imposed load;
- $W_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic wind load;
- $E_{\rm u}$  is the worst credible earth and water lateral load (see clause 21); and the numerical values are the appropriate  $\gamma_{\rm f}$  factors.

Where other than worst credible earth and water lateral loads are used, such as nominal lateral loads determined in accordance with Civil Engineering Code of Practice No.2 1951, the appropriate safety factor  $\gamma_f$  for design worst credible earth and water lateral load determination is 1.4.

In design, each of the load combinations a) to d) should be considered and that giving the most severe conditions should be adopted.

In certain circumstances, other values of  $\gamma_f$  may be appropriate, e.g. in farm buildings. Reference should be made to the relevant British Standards, e.g. BS 5502-22.

#### 23 Characteristic compressive strength of masonry, $f_k$

#### 23.1 Normal masonry

The characteristic compressive strength,  $f_k$ , of any masonry may be determined by tests on wall specimens, following the procedures laid down in **A.2**.

For normally bonded masonry, defined in terms of the shape and compressive strength of the structural units and the designation of the mortar (see Table 1), the values given in Table 2 inclusive may be taken to be the characteristic compressive strength,  $f_k$ , of walls constructed under laboratory conditions tested at an age of 28 days under axial compression in such a manner that the effects of slenderness may be neglected. Linear interpolation within the tables is permitted, facilitated by the graphs [Figure 1a), Figure 1b), Figure 1c) and Figure 1d)].

Table 2a) applies to masonry built with standard format bricks complying with the requirements of BS 187, BS 6073-1 or BS 3921.

Table 2b) applies to masonry built with structural units with a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of 0.6.

Table 2c) applies to structural units, other than solid concrete blocks, with a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 2.0 and 4.0, and makes due allowance for the enhancement in strength resulting from the unit shape.

Table 2d) applies to solid concrete blocks, i.e. those without cavities, with a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 2.0 and 4.0, and makes due allowance for the enhancement in strength resulting from the unit shape.

#### 23.1.1 Walls or columns of small plan area

Where the horizontal cross-sectional area of a loaded wall or column is less than  $0.2 \text{ m}^2$ , the characteristic compressive strength should be multiplied by the factor:

(0.70 + 1.5A)

where

A is the horizontal loaded cross-sectional area of the wall or column  $(m^2)$ .

#### 23.1.2 Narrow brick walls

When brick walls are constructed so that the thickness of the wall or loaded inner leaf of a cavity wall is equal to the width of a standard format brick, the values of  $f_k$  obtained from Table 2a) may be multiplied by 1.15.

















Section 3

a) Constructed with standard	d format brick	s							
Mortar designation		<b>Compressive strength of unit</b> (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )							
	5	10	15	20	27.5	35	50	70	100
(i)	2.5	4.4	6.0	7.4	9.2	11.4	15.0	19.2	24.0
(ii)	2.5	4.2	5.3	6.4	7.9	9.4	12.2	15.1	18.2
(iii)	2.5	4.1	5.0	5.8	7.1	8.5	10.6	13.1	15.5
(iv)	2.2	3.5	4.4	5.2	6.2	7.3	9.0	10.8	12.7
b) Constructed with blocks h	aving a ratio	of height to	least horiz	ontal dime	nsion of 0.6	3			
Mortar designation			Con	pressive	strength o	of unit (N/r	nm²)		
	2.8	3.5	5.0	7.0	10	15	20	35 or g	greater
(i)	1.4	1.7	2.5	3.4	4.4	6.0	7.4	1	1.4
(ii)	1.4	1.7	2.5	3.2	4.2	5.3	6.4	9.4	
(iii)	1.4	1.7	2.5	3.2	4.1	5.0	5.8	8.5	
(iv)	1.4	1.7	2.2	2.8	3.5	4.4	5.2	7.3	
c) Constructed with hollow blocks having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 2.0 and 4.0									
Mortar designation	Compressive strength of unit (N/mm <sup>2</sup> )								
	2.8	3.5	5.0	7.0	10	15	20	35 or g	greater
(i)	2.8	3.5	5.0	5.7	6.1	6.8	7.5	1	1.4
(ii)	2.8	3.5	5.0	5.5	5.7	6.1	6.5	9	9.4
(iii)	2.8	3.5	5.0	5.4	5.5	5.7	5.9	8	8.5
(iv)	2.8	3.5	4.4	4.8	4.9	5.1	5.3	,	7.3
d) Constructed from solid cor	ncrete blocks	having a rat	tio of heigh	t to least h	orizontal d	imension of	f between 2	2.0 and 4.0	
Mortar designation			Con	pressive	strength o	of unit (N/r	nm²)		
	2.8	3.5	5.0	7.0	10	15	20	35 or g	greater
(i)	2.8	3.5	5.0	6.8	8.8	12.0	14.8	22	2.8
(ii)	2.8	3.5	5.0	6.4	8.4	10.6	12.8	18	8.8
(iii)	2.8	3.5	5.0	6.4	8.2	10.0	11.6	1'	7.0
(iv)	2.8	3.5	4.4	5.6	7.0	8.8	10.4	14	4.6

Table 2 — Characteristic compressive strength of masonry, $f_k$ , in N/m	Table 2 —	- Characteristic	compressive	strength of	f masonry, <i>f</i>	k, in	N/mm	12
--	-----------	------------------	-------------	-------------	---------------------	-------	------	----

#### 23.1.3 Walls constructed in modular bricks

For 90 mm wide × 90 mm high modular bricks complying with the requirements of BS 6073-1 or as detailed in DD 34 or DD 59, the values of  $f_k$  in Table 2a) may be multiplied by the following factors:

- a) masonry thickness equal to width of brick: 1.25;
- b) other thicknesses: 1.10.

#### 23.1.4 Walls constructed of wide bricks

When walls are constructed with bricks having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of less than 0.6, the value of  $f_k$  should have been obtained from wall tests carried out in accordance with **A.2**.

#### 23.1.5 Hollow block walls

When walls are built of hollow blocks having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 0.6 and 2.0, the value of  $f_k$  should be obtained by interpolation between the values given in Table 2b) and Table 2c).

#### 23.1.6 Solid concrete block walls

When walls are built of solid concrete blocks, i.e. those without any cavities, having a ratio of height to least horizontal dimension of between 0.6 and 2.0, the value of  $f_k$  should be obtained by interpolation between the values given in Table 2b) and Table 2d).

#### 23.1.7 Walls of hollow concrete blocks filled with in-situ concrete

When walls are built with hollow concrete blocks and the vertical cavities are completely filled with in-situ concrete, the characteristic compressive strength of the masonry should be obtained as if the blocks were solid (see **23.1.6**), provided that:

a) the compressive strength of the blocks is assessed on their net area;

b) the 28-day cube strength of the concrete infilling is not less than the compressive strength of the blocks derived from a) above.

#### $23.1.8\ Natural\ stone\ masonry$

Natural stone masonry should be designed on the basis of solid concrete blocks of an equivalent compressive strength. Where masonry is constructed from large, carefully shaped pieces with relatively thin joints, its loadbearing capacity is more closely related to the intrinsic strength of the stone than is the case where small structural units are used. Design stresses in excess of those obtained from this code may be allowed in such massive stone masonry, provided that the designer is satisfied that the properties of the stone warrant an increase.

#### 23.1.9 Random rubble masonry

The characteristic strength of random rubble masonry may be taken as 75 % of the corresponding strength of natural stone masonry built with similar materials. In the case of rubble masonry built with lime mortar, the characteristic strength may be taken as one-half of that for masonry in mortar designation (iv).

#### 23.2 Structural units laid other than on the normal bed face

Where structural units are laid other than on the normal bed face, the compressive strength of the unit as determined for that direction (see clause 8) should be adopted when using Table 2.

#### 23.3 Perforated bricks and hollow blocks

The compressive strength of perforated bricks and hollow blocks is determined by dividing the ultimate load by the gross plan area of the unit, as if it were solid. This compressive strength should therefore be used in obtaining the value of  $f_k$  from Table 2a), Table 2b) and Table 2c). Hollow concrete blocks are sometimes laid with mortar on the two outer strips of block (shell bedding), in which case the value of  $f_k$  should be obtained as usual from Table 2b) and Table 2c), but the design strength of the wall should be reduced by the ratio of the bedded area to the net area of block.

#### 24 Characteristic flexural strength of masonry, $f_{\rm kx}$

#### 24.1 General

The characteristic flexural strength,  $f_{\rm kx}$ , should be used only in the design of masonry in bending. In general, no direct tension should be allowed in masonry. However, at the designer's discretion half the values in Table 3 may be allowed in direct tension when suction forces arising from wind loads on roof structures are transmitted to masonry walls, or when the probable effects of misuse or accidental damage (see Section 5) are being considered. In no circumstances may the combined flexural and direct tensile stresses exceed the values given in Table 3.

Flexural tension should be relied on at a damp proof course only if the damp proof course consists of a material which has been proved by tests (see DD 86-1) to permit the joint to transmit tension or if it is of bricks complying with the requirements of BS 743.

#### 24.2 Flexural strength

The characteristic flexural strength values given in Table 3 may be used for the categories of brick, block and mortar shown or, alternatively, tests may be carried out in accordance with **A.3**.

Linear interpolation between entries in Table 3 is permitted for:

- a) concrete block walls of thickness between 100 mm and 250 mm;
- b) concrete blocks of compressive strength between 2.8  $\rm N/mm^2$  and 7.0  $\rm N/mm^2$  in a wall of given thickness.



#### 25 Characteristic shear strength of masonry, $f_{\rm v}$

The characteristic shear strength of masonry,  $f_v$ , in the horizontal direction of the horizontal plane (see Figure 2) may be taken as  $0.35 + 0.6g_A$  N/mm<sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 1.75 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for walls built in mortar designations (i) and (ii) or  $0.15 + 0.6g_A$  N/mm<sup>2</sup> with a maximum of 1.4 N/mm<sup>2</sup> for walls built in mortar designations (iii) and (iv):

#### where

 $g_A$  is the design vertical load per unit area of wall cross section due to the vertical loads calculated from the appropriate loading condition specified in clause **22**.

The characteristic shear strength  $f_v$  of bonded masonry in the vertical direction of the vertical plane (see Figure 2) may be taken as:

- a) for brick:
  - 0.7 N/mm<sup>2</sup> [for mortar designations (i) and (ii)];
  - 0.5 N/mm<sup>2</sup> [for mortar designations (iii) and (iv)];
- b) for dense aggregate solid concrete block with a minimum strength of 7 N/mm<sup>2</sup>:
  - 0.35 N/mm<sup>2</sup> [for mortar designations (i), (ii) and (iii)].

#### 26 Coefficient of friction

The coefficient of friction between clean concrete and masonry faces may be taken as 0.6.

#### 27 Partial safety factors for material strength, $\gamma_{\rm m}$

#### 27.1 General

The value of  $\gamma_m$  to be used in the application of the design procedures given in Section 4 and Section 5 should be related to the quality control exercised.

#### 27.2 Quality control

The value of  $\gamma_m$  adopted should be commensurate with the degree of control exercised both during the manufacture of the structural units and in the site supervision, and also with the quality of the mortar used during construction. Two levels of control are recognized in each case, as detailed in **27.2.1** and **27.2.2**.

#### 27.2.1 Manufacturing control

#### 27.2.1.1 Normal category

Normal category should be assumed when the supplier is able to meet the requirements for compressive strength in the appropriate British Standard, but does not meet the requirements for the special category detailed in **27.2.1.2**.





		Plane of fa parallel to	ailure ) bed join	ts	Plane of failure perpendicular to bed joints		joints
	Mortar designation	(i)	(ii) and (iii)	(iv)	(i)	(ii) and (iii)	(iv)
Clay brick	a water absorption						
less tha	in 7 %	0.7	0.5	0.4	2.0	1.5	1.2
between	n 7 % and 12 %	0.5	0.4	0.35	1.5	1.1	1.0
over 12	%	0.4	0.3	0.25	1.1	0.9	0.8
Calcium s	silicate bricks	0.3		0.2	0.9		0.6
Concrete bricks		0.3		0.2	0.9		0.6
Concrete compressi	blocks (solid or hollow) of ive strength in N/mm <sup>2</sup> :						
2.8	)	)		)	0.40		0.4
3.5	used in walls of thickness <sup>a</sup>	0.25		0.2	0.45		0.4
7.0	up to 100 mm				0.60		0.5
2.8	)	)		)	0.25		0.2
3.5	used in walls of thickness <sup>a</sup>	0.15		20.1	0.25		0.2
7.0	250 mm				0.35		0.3
10.5	)	)		)	0.75		0.6
14.0	$\left\{ \text{ used in walls of any thickness}^{a} \right\}$	0.25		0.2	$0.90^{b}$		0.7
and							
over	J	J		J			
<sup>a</sup> The thick	ness should be taken to be the thickness of	f the wall, fo	or a sing	le-leaf wall, or th	e thickn	less of the leaf,	for a cavity wall.

<sup>a</sup> The thickness should be taken to be the thickness of the wall, for a single-leaf wall, or the thickness of the leaf, for a cavity wall <sup>b</sup> When used with flexural strength in parallel direction, assume the orthogonal ratio  $\mu = 0.3$ .

#### 27.2.1.2 Special category

Special category may be assumed where the manufacturer:

a) agrees to supply consignments of structural units to a specified strength limit, referred to as the "acceptance limit", for compressive strength, such that the average compressive strength of a sample of structural units, taken from any consignment and tested in accordance with the appropriate British Standard specification, has a probability of not more than 2.5 % of being below the acceptance limit; and

b) operates a quality control scheme, the results of which can be made available to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the purchaser that the acceptance limit is consistently being met in practice, with the probability of failing to meet the limit being never greater than that stated in **27.2.1.2**a).

#### 27.2.2 Construction control

#### 27.2.2.1 Normal category

Normal category should be assumed whenever the work is carried out following the recommendations for workmanship in Annex A of BS 5628-3:2001, or BS 8000-3 including appropriate supervision and inspection.

19

#### 27.2.2.2 Special category

Special category of construction control may be assumed where the requirements of the normal category control are complied with and in addition:

a) the specification, supervision and control ensure that the construction is compatible with the use of the appropriate partial safety factors given in Table 4a);

b) preliminary compressive strength tests carried out on the mortar to be used, in accordance with A.1, indicate compliance with the strength requirements given in Table 1 and regular testing of the mortar used on site, in accordance with A.1, shows that compliance with the strength requirements given in Table 1 is being maintained.

#### 27.3 Values of $\gamma_{\rm m}$ for normal and accidental loads

The value of  $\gamma_m$  to be used in clause **32** and clause **34** should be obtained from Table 4a). The value of  $\gamma_m$  to be used in clause **36**, except where otherwise stated, should be obtained from Table 4b). When considering the probable effects of misuse or accident (Section 5), the values given in Table 4a) and Table 4b) may be halved, except where otherwise required in **37.1.1**.

, γ <sub>1</sub>
,

		Category of construction control	
		Special	Normal
Category of manufacturing control of	Special	2.5	3.1
structural units	Normal	2.8	3.5

#### Table 4b) — Partial safety factors for material strength — Flexure, $\gamma_{\rm m}$

Category of construction control				
Special	Normal			
2.5	3.0			

Where wall tests in accordance with A.2 and A.3 have been carried out, the  $\gamma_m$  factors to be applied to the characteristic strengths determined in accordance with A.2 and A.3 may be taken as 0.9 times the values given in Table 4a) and Table 4b), provided the masonry conforms in all respects to the recommendations given in this code.

#### 27.4 Values of $\gamma_{mv}$ for shear loads

The partial safety factor for masonry strength in shear,  $\gamma_{mv}$ , should be taken as 2.5 when mortar not weaker than mortar designation (iv) is used. When considering the probable effects of misuse or accident (Section 5) the value of  $\gamma_{mv}$  may be reduced to 1.25.

#### 27.5 Values of $\gamma_{\rm m}$ for use with ties

The partial safety factory to be applied to the strength of wall ties (**36.2**) should be 3.0. When considering the probable effects of misuse or accidental damage, this value may be halved.

# Section 4. Design: detailed considerations

#### 28 Consideration of slenderness of walls and columns

#### 28.1 Slenderness ratio

The slenderness ratio should not exceed 27, except in the case of walls less than 90 mm thick, in buildings of more than two storeys, where it should not exceed 20.

#### 28.2 Lateral support

A lateral support may be provided along either a horizontal or a vertical line, depending on whether the slenderness ratio is based on a vertical or horizontal dimension.

#### 28.2.1 Horizontal or vertical lateral supports

Horizontal or vertical lateral supports should be capable of transmitting to the elements of construction that provide lateral stability to the structure as a whole, the sum of the following design lateral forces:

a) the simple static reactions to the total applied design horizontal forces at the line of lateral support; and

b) 2.5 % of the total design vertical load that the wall or column is designed to carry at the line of lateral support; the elements of construction that provide lateral stability to the structure as a whole need not be designed to support this force.

However, the designer should satisfy himself that loads applied to lateral supports will be transmitted to the elements of construction providing stability, e.g. by the floors or roofs acting as horizontal girders.

#### 28.2.2 Horizontal lateral supports

**28.2.2.1** Simple resistance to lateral movement may be assumed in the case of houses of not more than three storeys where timber floor members, spaced apart at a distance of not more than 1.2 m, are connected by suitable joist hangers effectively fixed to the joist, as indicated in Appendix C. In all other cases, including buildings of more than three storeys, a connection capable of providing simple resistance to lateral movement may be assumed where connections are of the form illustrated in Appendix C.

**28.2.2.2** Enhanced resistance to lateral movement may be assumed where:

a) floors or roofs of any form of construction span on to the wall or column from both sides at the same level;

b) an in-situ concrete floor or roof, or a precast concrete floor or roof giving equivalent restraint, irrespective of the direction of span, has a bearing of at least one-half the thickness of the wall or inner leaf of a cavity wall or column on to which it spans but in no case less than 90 mm;

c) in the case of houses of not more than three storeys, a timber floor spans on to a wall from one side and has a bearing of not less than 90 mm.

Preferably, columns should be provided with lateral support in both horizontal directions.

#### 28.2.3 Vertical lateral supports

**28.2.3.1** Simple resistance to lateral movement may be assumed where an intersecting or return wall not less than the thickness of the supported wall or loadbearing leaf of a cavity wall extends from the intersection at least ten times the thickness of the supported wall or loadbearing leaf and is connected to it by metal anchors calculated in accordance with **28.2.1** and evenly distributed throughout the height at not more than 300 mm centres.

**28.2.3.2** Enhanced resistance to lateral movement may be assumed where an intersecting or return wall as described in **28.2.3.1** is properly bonded to the supported wall or loadbearing leaf of a cavity wall.

**28.2.3.3** In all other cases of vertical lateral support, simple or enhanced resistance to lateral movement may be established by calculation.

#### 28.3 Effective height or length

The effective height or length of a loadbearing wall or column should be assessed taking account of the relative stiffness of the elements of structure connected to the wall or column and the efficiency of the connections. In the absence of detailed calculations, the designer may take the effective height or length from **28.3.1** or **28.3.2**.

#### 28.3.1 Effective height

28.3.1.1 Walls

The effective height of a wall may be taken as:

a) 0.75 times the clear distance between lateral supports which provide enhanced resistance to lateral movement; or

b) the clear distance between lateral supports which provide simple resistance to lateral movement.

#### 28.3.1.2 Columns

The effective height of a column should be taken as the distance between lateral supports or twice the height of the column in respect of a direction in which lateral support is not provided.

#### 28.3.1.3 Columns formed by adjacent openings in walls

Where openings occur in a wall such that the masonry between any two openings is, by definition, a column, the effective height of the column should be taken as follows.

a) Where an enhanced resistance to lateral movement of the wall containing the column is provided, the effective height should be taken as 0.75 times the distance between the supports plus 0.25 times the height of the taller of the two openings.

b) Where a simple resistance to lateral movement of the wall containing the column is provided, the effective height should be taken as the distance between the supports.



BSI

#### 28.3.1.4 Piers

Where the thickness of a pier is not greater than 1.5 times the thickness of the wall of which it forms a part, it may be treated as a wall for effective height consideration; otherwise the pier should be treated as a column in the plane at right angles to the wall.

NOTE The thickness of a pier,  $t_p$ , is the overall thickness including the thickness of the wall or, when bonded into one leaf of a cavity wall, the thickness obtained by treating this leaf as an independent wall.

#### 28.3.2 Effective length

The effective length of a wall may be taken as:

a) 0.75 times the clear distance between vertical lateral supports or twice the distance between a support and a free edge, where lateral supports provide enhanced resistance to lateral movement;

b) the clear distance between lateral supports or 2.5 times the distance between a support and a free edge where lateral supports provide simple resistance to lateral movement.

#### 28.4 Effective thickness

The effective thickness of a wall, column or pier is given in **28.4.1** and **28.4.2** and is illustrated in Figure 3.

#### 28.4.1 Walls and columns not stiffened by piers or intersecting walls

For single leaf walls and columns the effective thickness is the actual thickness.

For cavity walls and columns the effective thickness should be taken as two-thirds the sum of the actual thicknesses of the two leaves or the actual thickness of the thicker leaf, whichever is the greater.

#### 28.4.2 Walls stiffened by piers or intersecting walls

Where a wall, which may be one leaf of a cavity wall, is stiffened by piers, the effective thickness,  $t_{of}$ , of the wall, or leaf of a cavity wall, is:

 $t_{\rm ef} = t \times K$ 

where

- t is the actual thickness of the wall or leaf;
- Kis the appropriate stiffness coefficient taken from Table 5.

For a wall stiffened by intersecting walls, the appropriate stiffness coefficient may be determined from Table 5 on the assumption that the intersecting walls are equivalent to piers of width equal to the thickness of the intersecting wall and of thickness equal to 3 times the thickness of the stiffened wall.

Ratio of pier spacing (centre to centre) to pier width	Ratio $t_p/t$ of pier thickness to actual thickness of wall to which it is bonded			
	1	2	3	
6	1.0	1.4	2.0	
10	1.0	1.2	1.4	
20	1.0	1.0	1.0	
NOTE Linear interpolation between the values given	in Table 5 is permissible	but not extrapolation ou	tside the limits given	

Table 5 — Stiffness coefficient for walls stiffened by piers

#### 29 Special types of wall

With all types of loadbearing wall which combine different materials, the possibility of overloading due to differential movement of the materials should be taken into account.

Where parts of a wall are not loadbearing, care may need to be taken to prevent the transfer of load to those parts due to movement of the loadbearing elements.

#### 29.1 Cavity walls

#### 29.1.1 General

Where the load is carried by one leaf only, the loadbearing capacity of the wall should be based on the horizontal cross-sectional area of that leaf alone, although the stiffening effect of the other leaf can be taken into account when calculating the slenderness ratio (see **23.1.2** and **28.4**).

#### 29.1.2 Minimum thickness of leaves

Each leaf of a cavity wall should be not less than 75 mm thick.

#### 29.1.3 Width of cavity

The width of the cavity may vary between 50 mm and 300 mm but should not be greater than 75 mm where either of the leaves is less than 90 mm in thickness. In special circumstances and with appropriate supervision, the width of the cavity may be reduced below 50 mm (but see also **5.5.4.2.5** of BS 5628-3:2001).

#### 29.1.4 Selection and strength of ties

The leaves of a cavity wall should be tied together with wall ties suitable for the type of construction.

The design compressive and tensile resistance of the ties, relevant to the nominal cavity width, should exceed the design loads to which they will be subjected.

Table 6 gives guidance on the selection of ties for normal applications.

#### 29.1.5 Density and positioning of ties

The density (number of ties per square metre) should be not less than  $2.5 \text{ ties/m}^2$  for walls in which both leaves are 90 mm or thicker and not less than  $4.9 \text{ ties/m}^2$  for walls in which either leaf is less than 90 mm thick. Ties should be evenly distributed over the wall area, except around openings, and should preferably be staggered. At the vertical edges of openings and at vertical unreturned or unbonded edges, for example at movement joints and up the sloping verge of gable walls, additional ties should be used at a rate of one tie per 300 mm height or equivalent, placed not more than 225 mm from the edge.

#### 29.1.6 Embedment of ties

The minimum embedment of a tie in the mortar joint should be 50 mm in each leaf. The length of the ties should be sufficient to give the minimum embedment having regard to normal site tolerances for cavity width and centering of the tie; suitable minimum lengths are given in Table 6.



				5	
		Permissible type of tie			
Least leaf thickness (one or both)	Nominal cavity width	Tie <sup>a</sup> length	Shape name in accordance with BS 1243	Type number in accordance with DD 140-2 <sup>b</sup>	
mm	mm	mm		mm	
75	75 or less	200	Butterfly, double triangle or vertical twist		
90	76 to 90	225	Double triangle <sup>c</sup> vertical twist or		
90	91 to 100	225	Double triangle <sup>d</sup> vertical twist or	Types 1, 2, 3 or 4, selected on the basis of the	
90	101 to 125	250	Vertical twist	Prescriptive rules for selection, and a model	
90	126 to 150	275	Vertical twist	calculation, are given in DD 140-2.	
90	151 to 175	300	Vertical twist		
90	176 to 300	a	Vertical twist style <sup>e</sup>		

Table 6 — Selection of wall ties: types and lengths

<sup>a</sup> This column gives the tie lengths, in 25 mm increments, that best meet the performance requirement that the embedment depth will be not less than 50 mm in both leaves, after taking into account all building and material tolerances, but also that the ties should not protrude from the face. For cavities wider than 180 mm, calculate the length as the structural cavity width plus 125 mm and select the nearest stock length. The designer may vary these in particular circumstances, provided that the design requirements continue to be met.

<sup>b</sup> The strength and stiffness of masonry/masonry ties in accordance with DD 140 ranges from type 1, the stiffest, to type 4 the least stiff. For ties to BS 1243 the vertical twist is the stiffest and the butterfly the least stiff.

<sup>c</sup> The minimum length requirement exceeds the maximum length specified under BS 1243 but 225 mm double triangle format ties, which otherwise comply with the requirements of BS 1243, should be suitable.

<sup>d</sup> Double triangle ties of shape similar to those in BS 1243, having a strength to satisfy type 2 of DD 140-2, are manufactured. Specialist tie manufacturers should be consulted if 225 mm long double triangle format ties are needed for 91 mm to 100 mm cavities.

e BS 1243 only covers tie lengths up to 305 mm but longer ties, which otherwise comply with the standard, have been found to give adequate performance in up to 300 mm wide cavities.

azmanco.com

#### 29.2 External cavity walls

#### 29.2.1 General

BSI

<u></u>

Copy.

Uncontrolled

2002.

December

Sheffield,

q

icensed Copy: Sheffield University, University

In order to avoid detrimental effects due to differential vertical movement between the inner and outer leaves, the designer may either:

- a) limit the uninterrupted height of the outer leaf of external cavity walls; or
- b) calculate the likely differential vertical movement of the outer masonry leaf with respect to the inner leaf and accommodate this movement by using suitable details.

#### 29.2.2 Limitation on uninterrupted height

When the method of limiting the uninterrupted height is adopted, the outer leaf should be supported at intervals of not more than every third storey or every 9 m, whichever is less. However, for buildings not exceeding four storeys or 12 m in height, whichever is less, the outer leaf may be uninterrupted for its full height.

#### 29.2.3 Accommodation of differential vertical movement

When the method of accommodating the differential vertical movement is adopted, the calculation should take into account all the factors such as elastic movement, moisture movement, thermal movement, creep, etc. The calculated differential movement should not exceed 30 mm. The details used should involve separate lintels for outer and inner leaves, the use of appropriate ties which are able to accommodate the expected movement, the fixing of windows to outer leaves, the provision of soft joints under cills and the use of suitable wall head details.

#### 29.2.4 Accommodation of horizontal movements

Whichever of the above alternatives is adopted for differential vertical movement, consideration should also be given to the provision of vertical joints to accommodate horizontal movements (see BS 5628-3).

#### 29.3 External walls of framed structures

Where a masonry wall is external to a structural frame the recommendations for avoidance of detrimental effects should be as given in **29.2.3**. When the wall is of bonded masonry construction, or is collar jointed, consideration should be given to the compatibility of the movement characteristics of the masonry units (see also **29.4**).

#### 29.4 Faced walls

Where a wall is constructed of two different types of masonry unit, it should be designed in the same manner as a wall having the same total thickness and constructed entirely in the weaker unit, or as a veneered wall. The different types of unit should be compatible.

#### 29.5 Veneered walls

While the dead weight of the veneer has to be included in the load calculated, any structural effect of the veneer should be neglected.

#### 29.6 Double-leaf (collar jointed) walls

Where a wall is constructed of two separate leaves with a vertical collar joint not exceeding 25 mm wide between them it may be designed as either:

- a) a cavity wall; or
- b) a single leaf wall, provided that the following conditions are satisfied.
  - 1) Each leaf is at least 90 mm thick.

2) For concrete blockwork the characteristic compressive strength obtained from clause 23 is multiplied by 0.9.

3) If the two leaves of the wall are constructed of different materials, it is designed on the assumption that the wall is constructed entirely in the weaker unit. The possibility of differential movement should be taken into account.

4) The load is applied to the two leaves and the eccentricity does not exceed 0.2t (except in the case of laterally loaded panels) where t is the overall thickness of the wall.

5) Flat metal wall ties of cross-sectional area 20 mm  $\times$  3 mm at centres not exceeding 450 mm both vertically and horizontally, or an equivalent mesh at the same vertical centres, are provided.

6) The minimum embedment of the ties into each leaf is 50 mm.

7) The vertical collar joint between the two leaves is solidly filled with mortar as the work proceeds.

#### 29.7 Grouted cavity walls

Where a wall is constructed of two separate leaves separated by a space of between 50 mm and 100 mm filled with concrete of 28-day strength not less than that of the mortar, and otherwise complies with the requirements detailed in **29.6**b) 1), 3), 4), 5) and 6), it may be designed as a single leaf wall. The effective thickness should be taken as equal to the actual overall thickness.

#### 30 Eccentricity in the plane of the wall

The eccentricity in the plane of a single wall can be calculated from statics alone. Where a horizontal force is resisted by several walls it may be distributed between the walls in proportion to their flexural stiffness about an axis perpendicular to the direction of the force.

The forces in the walls may be determined by an appropriate elastic analysis. Connections for transmitting the horizontal force to the walls should be properly designed.

#### 31 Eccentricity at right angles to the wall

Preferably, eccentricity of loading on walls and columns should be calculated but, at the discretion of the designer, it may be assumed that the load transmitted to a wall by a single floor or roof acts at one-third of the depth of the bearing area from the loaded face of the wall or loadbearing leaf. Where a uniform floor is continuous over a wall, each side of the floor may be taken as being supported individually on half the total bearing area. Where joist hangers are used, the load should be assumed to be applied at the face of the wall.

The resultant eccentricity of the load at any level may be calculated on the assumption that the total vertical load on a wall is axial immediately above a lateral support.

#### 32 Walls and columns subjected to vertical loading

#### 32.1 Loads eccentric in the plane of the wall

Where the vertical resultant of all the loads acts eccentrically in the plane of the wall the intensity of loading at any position should be assessed on the basis of the load distribution shown in Figure 4 and the wall strength should be calculated in accordance with **32.2**.



#### 32.2 Design strength of masonry

The design strength of masonry is the characteristic strength multiplied by a capacity reduction factor,  $\beta$ , and divided by the appropriate partial safety factor for material.

#### 32.2.1 Design vertical load resistance of walls

The design vertical load resistance of a wall per unit length is given by:

 $\beta t f_k$ 

 $\gamma_{\rm m}$ 

where

- $\beta$   $\,$  is a capacity reduction factor allowing for the effects of slenderness and eccentricity and is obtained from Table 7;
- $f_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic strength of the masonry obtained from clause 23;
- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for the material obtained from clause 27 Table 4a);
- t is the thickness of the wall.



Slenderness ratio	Eccentricity at top of wall, $e_{\rm x}$				
$h_{ m ef}/t_{ m ef}$	Up to $0.05t$ (see note 1)	0.1t	0.2t	0.3t	
0	1.00	0.88	0.66	0.44	
6	1.00	0.88	0.66	0.44	
8	1.00	0.88	0.66	0.44	
10	0.97	0.88	0.66	0.44	
12	0.93	0.87	0.66	0.44	
14	0.89	0.83	0.66	0.44	
16	0.83	0.77	0.64	0.44	
18	0.77	0.70	0.57	0.44	
20	0.70	0.64	0.51	0.37	
22	0.62		0.43	0.30	
24	0.53	0.47	0.34		
26	0.45	0.38			
27	0.40	0.33			
NOTE 1 It is not necess	sary to consider the effects of ecce	entricities up to and i	ncluding 0.05t.		
NOTE 2 Linear interpo	lation between eccentricities and	slenderness ratios is	permitted.		

NOTE 3 The derivation of  $\beta$  is given in Appendix B.

#### 32.2.2 Design vertical load resistance of columns

The design vertical load resistance of a rectangular column is given by:

 $\beta btf_k$ 

 $\gamma_{\rm m}$ 

where

is the width of the column; b

is the thickness of the column; t

all other symbols are as given in **32.2.1**. The value of  $\beta$  should be chosen as follows:

a) when the eccentricities about the major and minor axes at the top of the column are less than 0.05b and 0.05t respectively, from the second column of Table 7, basing the slenderness ratio on the value of  $t_{\rm ef}$  appropriate to the minor axis;

b) when the eccentricities about the major and minor axes are less than 0.05b but greater than 0.05trespectively, from Table 7, using the values of eccentricity and slenderness ratio appropriate to the minor axis:

c) when the eccentricities about the major and minor axes are greater than 0.05b but less than 0.05trespectively, from Table 7, using the value of eccentricity appropriate to the major axis and the value of slenderness ratio appropriate to the minor axis or from Appendix B deriving additional eccentricities about both axes;

d) when the eccentricities about the major and minor axes are greater than 0.05b and 0.05trespectively, from Appendix B, deriving additional eccentricities about both axes.
#### 32.2.3 Design vertical load resistance of cavity walls and columns

When the applied vertical load acts between the centroids of the two leaves of a cavity wall or column, it should be replaced by statically equivalent axial loads in the two leaves. Each leaf should then be designed to resist these calculated axial loads in accordance with **32.2.1** or **32.2.2** as appropriate, in which case  $t_{ef}$  in Table 7 is the effective thickness of the cavity wall or column.

## 33 Walls subjected to shear forces

Where walls resist, in shear, horizontal forces acting in their plane, provision against the ultimate limit state in shear being reached may be assumed if the following relationship is satisfied:

$$v_{\rm h} \leq \frac{f_{\rm v}}{\gamma_{\rm mv}}$$

where

 $v_{\rm h}$  is the shear stress produced by the horizontal design load calculated as acting uniformly over the horizontal cross-sectional area of the wall;

 $\gamma_{\rm mv}$  is the partial safety factor for material strength in shear (27.4);

 $f_{\rm v}$  is the characteristic shear strength of the masonry (clause 25).

## 34 Concentrated loads: stresses under and close to a bearing

Increased local stresses may be permitted beneath the bearing of a concentrated load of a purely local nature, such as beams, columns, lintels, etc. provided either that the element applying the load is sensibly rigid, or that a suitable spreader is introduced. The concentrated load may be assumed to be uniformly distributed over the area of the bearing, except in the special case of a spreader located at the end of a wall and spanning in its plane [bearing type 3, see Figure 5(c)], and dispersed in two planes within a zone contained by lines extending downwards at  $45^{\circ}$  from the edges of the loaded area.











The effect of the local load combined with stresses due to other loads [see Figure 6(a)] should be checked:

a) at the bearing, assuming a local design bearing strength of  $1.25 f_k/\gamma_m$  in the case of bearing type 1 [Figure 5(a)] or  $1.5 f_k/\gamma_m$  in the case of bearing type 2 [Figure 5(b)];

b) at a distance of 0.4h below the bearing where the design strength should be calculated in accordance with clause **32**;

where

- $f_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic strength of the masonry;
- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for the material [clause 27, Table 4a)];
- h is the clear height of the wall;
- $\beta$  is the capacity reduction factor from Table 7.

In the special case of a spreader beam, designed in accordance with an acceptable elastic theory, located at the end of a wall and spanning it its plane [see Figure 5(c)], the maximum stress at the bearing combined with stresses due to other loads should not exceed  $2.0f_k/\gamma_m$ .

In this case, when checking the stress at a distance of 0.4h below the bearing, the design strength should be calculated in accordance with clause **32** [see Figure 6(b)].

## 35 Composite action between walls and their supporting beams

Where a wall and the beam on which it is supported are designed to act as a single composite unit, the magnitude of the stress concentrations likely to occur near the base of the wall in the vicinity of the beam supports should be assessed. At these positions, the wall should be designed in accordance with the requirements given in clause **32** using clause **34** for concentrated loads and ignoring the effects of slenderness. The remainder of the wall should be designed in accordance with clause **32**.

I



© BSI 9 August 2002

## 36 Walls subjected to lateral load

#### 36.1 General

Empirical guidance is given on certain wall shapes and conditions in BS 5628-3 and in building regulations. This clause enables these walls to be designed by calculation.

The loads to be used in design are given in clause 22.

The presence of a damp proof course may significantly affect the capacity of masonry to resist flexural tensile stresses at the level of the damp proof course. See **5.6** of BS 8215:1998.

#### 36.2 Support conditions and continuity

In assessing the lateral resistance of masonry panels, it is essential that support conditions and continuity over supports are taken into account. Typical examples are illustrated in Figure 7 and Figure 8.

Simple supports may be provided by:

a) ties complying with BS 1243, meeting the recommendations of Table 8 using the value of  $\gamma_m$  given in **27.5**;

b) ties meeting the design recommendations of DD 140-2 and tested in accordance with the methods given in DD 140-1, using the value of  $\gamma_m$  given in **27.5**.

The reaction along an edge of a wall due to the design load may normally be assumed to be uniformly distributed when designing the means of support. The connection to the support may be in the form of ties or by the shear resistance of the masonry taking into account the damp proof course, if any. Where vertical twist ties conforming to BS 1243 are required to transmit compression, provided that any cavity gap between the wall and the supporting structure is not greater than 75 mm, their characteristic compressive resistance may be taken as:

- a) 5.0 kN when laid in mortar designations (i) or (ii); or
- b) 4.0 kN when laid in mortar designation (iii); or
- c) 2.5 kN when laid in mortar designation (iv).

For vertical twist ties conforming to BS 1243 in cavities up to 100 mm wide the characteristic compressive resistance of ties may be taken as:

- a)  $4.5~\mathrm{kN}$  when laid in mortar designations (i) or (ii); or
- b) 3.5 kN when laid in mortar designation (iii); or
- c) 2.0 kN when laid in mortar designation (iv).







When laid in mortar designations (i), (ii), (iii) or (iv), the characteristic compressive resistance of double triangle ties and of wire butterfly ties conforming to BS 1243 may be taken, respectively, as 1.25 kN and 0.5 kN for cavities up to 75 mm wide and 0.65 kN and 0.35 kN for cavities up to 100 mm wide, subject to the requirements detailed in **29.1.4** and **29.1.5**. The characteristic compressive resistance of ties not covered by the above may be determined in accordance with DD 140-1.

In the case of cavity construction, continuity may be assumed even if only one leaf is continuously bonded over or past a support, provided that the cavity wall has ties in accordance with Table 6. Where the leaves are of different thicknesses the thicker leaf is to be the continuous leaf. The load to be transmitted from a panel to its support may be taken by ties to one leaf only, provided that there is adequate connection between the two leaves, particularly at the edges of the panels.

Туре	Characteristic strengths of ties engaged in dovetail slots set in structural concrete			
		Tension		Shear
Dovetail slot types of ties a) Galvanized or stainless steel fishtail anchors 3 mm thick, 17 mm min. width in 1.25 mm thick galvanized or stainless steel slot, 150 mm long, set in structural concrete	kN 4.0			kN 5.0
b) Galvanized or stainless steel fishtail anchors 2 mm thick, 17 mm min. width, in 2 mm thick galvanized or stainless steel slots 150 mm long, set in structural concrete	3.0			4.5
c) Copper fishtail anchors 3 mm thick, 17 mm min. width, in 1.25 mm copper slots, 150 mm long, set in structural concrete	3.5			4.0
	Characte	ristic loads in	ties embedded	l in mortar
		Tension		Shear <sup>a</sup>
	Mortar designations		Mortar designation	
	(i) and (ii)	(iii)	(iv)	(i), (ii) or (iii)
Cavity wall ties <sup>b</sup> a) Wire butterfly type:	kN	kN	kN	kN
Zinc coated mild steel or stainless steel	3.0	2.5	2.0	2.0
b) Vertical twist type:				
Zinc coated mild steel or bronze or stainless steel	5.0	4.0	2.5	3.5
c) Double triangle type:				
Zinc coated mild steel or bronze or stainless steel	5.0	4.0	2.5	3.0
<ul> <li><sup>a</sup> Applicable only to cases where shear exists between closely abutting surfaces.</li> <li><sup>b</sup> See BS 1243:1978</li> </ul>				

Table 8 — Characteristic strengths of wall ties used as panel supports

## **36.3 Limiting dimensions**

In a laterally-loaded panel or freestanding wall built of masonry set in mortar designations (i) to (iv) and designed in accordance with clause **36**, the dimensions should be limited as follows:

## a) Panel supported on three edges

- 1) two or more sides continuous: height × length equal to  $1.500t_{ef}^{2}$  or less;
- 2) all other cases: height  $\times$  length equal to 1  $350 t_{\rm ef}{}^2$  or less.
- b) Panel supported on four edges
  - 1) three or more sides continuous: height × length equal to 2  $250t_{\rm ef}^2$  or less;
  - 2) all other cases: height  $\times$  length equal to 2  $025 t_{\rm ef}{}^2$  or less.
- c) Panel simply supported at top and bottom
- Height equal to  $40t_{\rm ef}$  or less.
- d) Freestanding wall
- Height equal to  $12t_{\rm ef}$  or less.

In cases a) and b) no dimension should exceed 50 times the effective thickness  $t_{\rm ef}$ .

#### 36.4 Methods of design for laterally loaded wall panels

#### 36.4.1 General

Masonry walls subjected to mainly lateral loads are not capable of precise design. There are, however, two approximate methods which at present may be used for assessing the strengths of such walls:

- a) as a panel supported on a number of sides;
- b) as an arch spanning between suitable supports.

When a wall has openings in it or is of an irregular shape such that this clause cannot be used directly, some guidance is given in Appendix D.

#### 36.4.2 Calculation of design moments in panels

Masonry walls are not isotropic and there is an orthogonal strength ratio,  $\mu$ , (see **3.16**) depending on the brick or block and mortar used, as may be found from the characteristic flexural strengths given in clause **24**.

The calculation of the design moment of a panel has to take into account the masonry properties referred to above and may be taken as either:

 $\alpha W_k \gamma_f L^2$  per unit height, when the plane of failure (see Table 3) is perpendicular to the bed joints; or  $\mu \alpha W_k \gamma_f L^2$  per unit length, when the plane of failure (see Table 3) is parallel to the bed joints;

where

- $\alpha$  is the bending moment coefficient taken from Table 9;
- $\gamma_{\rm f}$  is the partial safety factor for loads (clause 22);
- $\mu$  is the orthogonal ratio;
- L is the length of the panel;
- $W_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic wind load per unit area.

When a vertical load acts so as to increase the flexural strength in the parallel direction, the orthogonal strength ratio  $\mu$  may be modified by using a flexural strength in the parallel direction of:

 $f_{\rm kx} + \gamma_{\rm m}g_{\rm d}$ 

where

- $f_{\rm kx}$  is the flexural strength in the parallel direction, taken from Table 3;
- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials [clause 27, Table 4b)];
- $g_{\rm d}$  is the design vertical dead load per unit area.

The bending moment coefficient,  $\mu\alpha$ , at a damp proof course may be taken as for an edge over which full continuity exists when there is sufficient vertical load on the damp proof course to ensure that its flexural strength (see 24.1) is not exceeded.

Table 9 gives values of bending moment coefficients,  $\alpha$ , for various values of  $\mu$ , the orthogonal ratio derived from Table 3, modified as necessary for vertical load.

For walls spanning vertically, the design moment per unit length of wall at mid-height of the panel may be taken as:

 $W_{\rm k}\gamma_{\rm f}h^2/8$ 

unless the end conditions justify treating the panel as partially fixed. Piers should be treated in the same way, and the proportion of load being carried by the pier should be assessed from normal structural principles.

## 36.4.3 Calculation of design moment of resistance of panels

The design moment of resistance of a masonry wall is given by:

$$\frac{f_{\rm kx}}{\gamma_{\rm m}} Z$$

where

- $f_{\rm kx}~$  is the characteristic flexural strength appropriate to the plane of bending (clause 24);
- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials [clause 27, Table 4b)];
- Z is the section modulus.

In assessing the section modulus of a wall including piers, the outstanding length of flange from the face of the pier should be taken as:

- a)  $4 \times$  thickness of wall forming the flange when the flange is unrestrained; or
- b) 6 × thickness of wall forming the flange when the flange is continuous;

but in no case more than half the clear distance between piers.

### 36.4.4 Arching

When a masonry wall is built solidly between supports capable of resisting an arch thrust (see below) or when a number of walls are built continuously past supports, the wall may be designed assuming that an horizontal arch develops within the thickness of the wall. A method of designing such a wall is given below. In the present state of knowledge, walls subjected to mainly lateral loads should be designed only for arching horizontally, but a method for designing walls arching vertically under axial load is given in **36.8**.

Calculation should be based on a simple three-pin arch and the bearing at the supports and at the central hinge should be assumed as 0.1 times the thickness of the wall.

For walls having a length to thickness ratio of 25 or less, the deflection under the design lateral load can be ignored; for other walls, allowance for the deflection should be made.

The arch rise is given by:

 $t - t/10 - \delta$ 

where

- t is the overall thickness of the wall;
- $\delta$  is the deflection under the design lateral load ( $\delta$  = 0 for walls of length/thickness less than 25).



## Table 9 — Bending moment coefficients in laterally loaded wall panels



NOTE 1 Linear interpolation of $\mu$ and $h/L$ is permitted.								
NOTE 1 Linear interpolation of $\mu$ and $h/L$ is permitted. NOTE 2 When the dimensions of a wall are outside the range of $h/L$ given in this table, it will usually be sufficient to calculate the moments on the basis of a simple span. For example, a panel of type A having $h/L$ less than 0.3 will tend to act as a freestanding wall, whilst the same panel having $h/L$ greater than 1.75 will tend to span horizontally.								
Key to support conditions			3		T		- K	
			1		$\mu \alpha$		×.	
denotes free eage		<b>_</b>	$-\gamma \infty$		$\propto \infty$	~~~~~	***	
simply supported edge					<u> </u>			
an edge over which full continuity exists		Volues of a						
	μ							
		0.30	0.50	0.75	1.00	1.25	1.50	1.75
	1.00	0.008	0.018	0.030	0.042	0.051	0.059	0.066
	0.90	0.009	0.019	0.032	0.044	0.054	0.062	0.068
	0.80	0.010	0.021	0.035	0.046	0.056	0.064	0.071
	0.70	0.011	0.023	0.037	0.049	0.059	0.067	0.073
	0.60	0.012	0.025	0.040	0.053	0.062	0.070	0.076
	0.50	0.014	0.028	0.044	0.057	0.066	0.074	0.080
	0.40	0.017	0.032	0.049	0.062	0.071	0.078	0.084
7 <del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>	0.35	0.018	0.035	0.052	0.064	0.074	0.081	0.086
	0.30	0.020	0.038	0.055	0.068	0.077	0.083	0.089
	1.00	0.008	0.016	0.026	0.034	0.041	0.046	0.051
	0.90	0.008	0.017	0.027	0.036	0.042	0.048	0.052
	0.80	0.009	0.018	0.029	0.037	0.044	0.049	0.054
	0.70	0.010	0.020	0.031	0.039	0.046	0.051	0.055
	0.60	0.011	0.022	0.033	0.042	0.048	0.053	0.057
₿ F	0.50	0.013	0.024	0.036	0.044	0.051	0.056	0.059
	0.40	0.015	0.027	0.039	0.048	0.054	0.058	0.062
? <del>X</del>	0.35	0.016	0.029	0.041	0.050	0.055	0.060	0.063
	0.30	0.018	0.031	0.044	0.052	0.057	0.062	0.065
	1.00	0.007	0.014	0.022	0.028	0.033	0.037	0.040
×/////////////////////////////////////	0.90	0.008	0.015	0.023	0.029	0.034	0.038	0.041
	0.80	0.008	0.016	0.024	0.031	0.035	0.039	0.042
	0.70	0.009	0.017	0.026	0.032	0.037	0.040	0.043
G S G	0.60	0.010	0.019	0.028	0.034	0.038	0.042	0.044
	0.50	0.011	0.021	0.030	0.036	0.040	0.043	0.046
8	0.40	0.013	0.023	0.032	0.038	0.042	0.045	0.047
	0.35	0.014	0.025	0.033	0.039	0.043	0.046	0.048
	0.30	0.016	0.026	0.035	0.041	0.044	0.047	0.049
	1.00	0.004	0.009	0.015	0.021	0.026	0.030	0.033
xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	0.90	0.004	0.010	0.016	0.022	0.027	0.031	0.034
k k	0.80	0.005	0.010	0.017	0.023	0.028	0.032	0.035
	0.70	0.005	0.011	0.019	0.025	0.030	0.033	0.037
	0.60	0.006	0.013	0.020	0.026	0.031	0.035	0.038
	0.50	0.007	0.014	0.022	0.028	0.033	0.037	0.040
<u>%</u>	0.40	0.008	0.016	0.024	0.031	0.035	0.039	0.042
***************************************	0.35	0.009	0.017	0.026	0.032	0.037	0.040	0.043
	0.30	0.010	0.019	0.028	0.034	0.038	0.042	0.044

### Table 9 — Bending moment coefficients in laterally loaded wall panels (continued)







## 44

I

The arch thrust has to be assessed from knowledge of the applied lateral load, the strength of the masonry in compression and the effectiveness of the junction between the wall and the support resisting the thrust. A small change in length of a wall in arching can considerably reduce the arching resistance; therefore care should be taken if the masonry is built of structural units that may shrink in service. Provided that the junction between the masonry and the support is solidly filled with mortar, the maximum design arch thrust per unit width of wall may be assumed to be:

$$1.5 \; \frac{f_{\rm k}}{\gamma_{\rm m}} \left( \frac{t}{10} \; \right)$$

For cases where the lateral deflection will be small, and hence can be ignored, the design lateral strength is given by:

$$q_{\rm lat} = \frac{f_{\rm k}}{\gamma_{\rm m}} \left(\frac{t}{L}\right)^2$$

where

 $q_{\rm lat}$  is the design lateral strength per unit area of wall;

- t is the overall thickness of wall;
- $f_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic compressive strength of the masonry;
- L is the length of the wall;
- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials [clause 27, Table 4a)].

The supporting structure has to be designed to be capable of resisting the arch thrust with negligible deformation.

#### 36.4.5 Design lateral strength for cavity walls

The design lateral strength for a cavity wall tied with vertical twist-type wall ties or ties with equivalent strength and stiffness should be taken as the sum of the design lateral strengths of the two leaves, allowing for the additional strength of any piers bonded to one or both of the leaves.

Where butterfly or double triangle ties are to be used the design lateral strength of the cavity wall may be taken as the sum of the design lateral strengths of the two leaves, provided that the ties are capable of transmitting the compressive forces to which they are subjected; when the ties are not capable of transmitting the full force, the contribution of the appropriate leaf should be limited accordingly.

#### 36.5 Method of design for freestanding walls

#### 36.5.1 General

Freestanding walls should be designed as cantilevers springing from the top of the foundation or from the point of horizontal lateral restraint when such restraint is sufficient to resist the horizontal reaction from the wall, except that wall panels between piers may be designed as three-sided or horizontally spanning in accordance with **36.4.3** and **36.4.4** respectively. The piers should then be designed as cantilevers to resist the reaction from the panel. Mortar should not be weaker than mortar designation (iii) (see Table 1).

#### 36.5.2 Calculation of design moment in freestanding walls

The design moment of a freestanding wall subjected to horizontal forces is given by:

$$W_{\rm k}\gamma_{\rm f}\frac{h^2}{2} + Q_{\rm k}\gamma_{\rm f}h_{\rm L}$$

where

- $W_{\mathrm{k}}$  is the characteristic wind load per unit area (clause 21);
- $\gamma_{\rm f}$  is the partial safety factor for loads (clause 22);
- h is the clear height of the wall or pier above restraint;
- $Q_{\rm k}$  is the characteristic imposed load (clause 21);
- $h_{\rm L}~$  is the vertical distance between the point of application of the horizontal load  $Q_{\rm k}$  and the lateral restraint.

## 36.5.3 Calculation of design moment of resistance of freestanding walls

The design moment of resistance across the bed joints is given by:

$$\left(\frac{f_{\rm kx}}{\gamma_{\rm m}} + g_{\rm d}\right) \times Z$$

where

BSI

- $f_{\rm kx}~$  is the characteristic flexural strength at the critical section, which may be the damp proof course (clause 24);
- $\gamma_m$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials [clause 27, Table 4b)];
- Z is the section modulus, which may take into account any variation on the plan arrangement, e.g. chevron, curved or zig-zag walls (in the case of walls with piers, see **36.4.3**);
- $g_{\rm d}$  is the design vertical dead load per unit area.

In cases where the flexural strength of the masonry cannot be relied upon (see **24.1**) a freestanding wall can only be used when there is sufficient vertical load acting. The design moment of resistance per unit length may then be assessed by assuming that the vertical load is resisted by a rectangular stress block when the moment in a wall of uniform thickness is:

$$\frac{n_{\rm w}}{2} \left[ t - \frac{n_{\rm w} \gamma_{\rm m}}{f_{\rm k}} \right]$$

where

t is the thickness of the wall;

 $n_{\rm w}~$  is the design vertical load per unit length of wall;

 $f_{\rm k}$   $\,$  is the characteristic compressive strength of masonry (clause 23).

 $\gamma_m$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials [clause 27, Table 4a)].

## 36.6 Retaining walls

Where retaining walls are to resist lateral earth and water pressure only, they should be designed in accordance with clauses **21** and **22**.

## **36.7 Foundation walls**

Where a wall carries vertical loading combined with lateral loading the earth and water pressure should be treated as detailed in **36.6**.

## 36.8 Design lateral strength of axially loaded walls and columns

The design lateral strength of axially loaded walls and columns may be calculated from consideration of the effective eccentricity due to the lateral load and any other eccentricity, using clause **32** and Appendix B or from the relationship:

$$q_{\rm lat} = \frac{4tn}{{h_{\rm a}}^2}$$

NOTE The formula incorporates a safety factor of 2.

where

 $q_{\rm lat}~$  is the design lateral strength per unit area of wall or column;

- n is the axial load per unit length of wall available to resist the arch thrust; for normal design it should be based on the characteristic dead load, but when considering the possible effects of misuse or accident, n should be the appropriate design load [see clause **22**d)];
- $h_{\rm a}$  is the clear height of the wall between concrete surfaces or other construction capable of providing adequate resistance to rotation across the full thickness of a wall;
- *t* is the actual thickness of wall or column.

provided that the wall or column is contained between concrete floors or other construction affording adequate lateral support and adequate resistance to rotation across the full width of the member, and any damp proof course or other plane of low frictional resistance in the wall or column can transmit the relevant

icensed Copy:

horizontal forces, the design load is not less than 0.1 N/mm<sup>2</sup>, and the ratio  $h_a/t$  does not exceed 25 in the case of narrow brick walls or 20 for all other types of wall.

If the wall or column is supported by returns which are capable of resisting the horizontal reaction transmitted to them, on a vertical edge or edges, the value of  $q_{\rm lat}$  as given by the above equation may be multiplied by the factor k from Table 10 in which  $h_{\rm a}$  is the clear height of the wall or column L is the length of the wall or column.

Number of returns	Value of k				
	$L/h_{\rm a}$	0.75	1.0	2.0	3.0
1		1.6	1.5	1.1	1.0
2		4.0	3.0	1.5	1.2

**Table 10** — **Factor** k

# 36.9 Method of design for propped cantilever walls for single storey buildings under wind loading

#### 36.9.1 General

Propped cantilever walls for single storey buildings under wind loading should be designed as springing from the top of the foundation or from the point of horizontal lateral support when such support is sufficient to resist the horizontal reaction from the wall.

The lateral support (prop) at the top of the wall, which may be provided by a braced roof system, should be capable of resisting the lateral forces. To accommodate a redistribution of moment resulting from possible deflections of the upper support the design moment of resistance at the lower support should be generated from gravity forces only, i.e. by assuming a cracked section: no flexural tensile strength should be taken into account at this level under any condition.

Under the ultimate limit state the member should be designed to satisfy all the conditions shown below.

a) The design flexural tensile stress in the wall (other than in the vicinity of the lower support) should not exceed the appropriate value of design flexural strength (clause **24** and clause **27**). At the lower support the design moment of resistance due to gravity forces should be assessed using factors of safety of 0.9 on the dead load and 1.4 on the wind load.

b) The wall should be stable when its strength is derived from gravity forces only (i.e. when the flexural tensile strength of the masonry is ignored) with factors of safety of 1.0 on the dead load and the wind load.

c) The design stress in the compressive zone of the wall should not exceed the design compressive strength.

#### 36.9.2 Calculation of design moment

For the ultimate limit state, the maximum design moment at any section should be calculated by statics superimposing the gravity moment on the moment resulting from lateral loads, assuming the wall to be simply supported at the top and the base. Characteristic loads given in clause **21** and relevant partial safety factors for loads given in clause **22** should be used.

In calculating the design moment, the height of the wall should be taken as the distance between the upper and lower lateral supports.

## 36.9.3 Calculations of design moment of resistance

#### 36.9.3.1 Condition a) of 36.9.1

For this condition the design moment of resistance across the bed joints for use other than in the vicinity of the lower lateral support is given by:

$$\left(\frac{f_{\rm kx}}{\gamma_{\rm m}} + g_{\rm d}\right) Z$$

where

 $f_{\rm kx}$  is the characteristic flexural strength;

- $\gamma_{\rm m}$  is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials [clause 27, Table 4b)];
- $Z_{\rm }$  is the section modulus relevant to the plan arrangement, e.g. solid, curved, piered or diaphragm forms;

 $g_{\rm d}$  is the design vertical dead load per unit area.

#### **36.9.3.2** Condition b) of **36.9.1**

For this condition the design moment of resistance across any bed joint is given by:

 $n_{\rm g} x$ 

where

- x is the internal lever arm of the resisting moment taken on the appropriate side of the section;
- $n_{\rm g}$  is the design vertical load at the section under consideration. In calculating  $n_{\rm g}$  account should be taken of any uplift forces due to wind action on the roof of the building.

#### 36.9.4 Integrity of sections in shear

#### 36.9.4.1 Vertical direction

The vertical shear stress between two elements of a section, such as the junction of the outer or inner leaf and the cross rib of a diaphragm wall should be resisted by either of the following.

a) Bonded masonry where the vertical shear stress induced by bending is resisted by masonry units bridging the interface between the two elements of the section. The design vertical shear stress due to lateral loads should be less than the design shear strength derived from clause **25**.

b) Appropriate flat metal sections in the bed joints acting as shear connectors, the size and spacing of which should be calculated as follows:

$$ru = 12t_{\rm w}sv/(0.87f_{\rm y})$$

where

- r is the width of the connector;
- u is the thickness of the connector;
- $t_{\rm w}$  ~ is the width of the masonry section in vertical shear;
- s is the spacing of the connectors;
- v is the design vertical shear stress on the masonry section;
- $f_{\rm y}$  is the characteristic tensile strength of the connector.

The flat metal sections should also comply with the recommendations for wall ties in respect of anchorage and embedment depth.

## 36.9.4.2 Horizontal direction

The design shear stress in the horizontal direction calculated on that part of the section which resists shear force should not exceed the value of the design shear strength for the horizontal direction in the horizontal plane derived from clause **25**.



## Section 5. Design: accidental damage

## 37 Design: accidental damage

#### 37.1 General guidance

The general recommendations in **20.3** are aimed at the limitation of accidental damage and preservation of structural integrity and are applicable to all building categories. No additional detailed recommendations are made in respect of category 1 buildings (four storeys and below) but for category 2 buildings (five storeys and above), assessment of residual stability and spread of damage, following the removal of a loadbearing element, as defined in Table 11, or alternatively, provision within the structure of vertical or horizontal tying, or both, is recommended.

The options available to the designer are given in Table 12.

If option (1) is adopted, the structure should be examined for the effect of the removal, within each storey, bay, span or cantilever, of any single vertical or horizontal loadbearing element, as appropriate, unless it is designed as a protected member. Similar analysis, in respect of vertical elements only, is recommended under option (2), but in the case of option (3) no further assessment of residual stability or spread of damage will normally be required.

The designer should satisfy himself that the damaged structure has adequate residual stability and that collapse of any significant portion of the structure is unlikely to occur.

#### 37.1.1 Protected member

A protected member is a member which, together with its essential supports, can withstand, without collapse, its reduced design load in accordance with clause **22**d), and an accidental design load of  $34 \text{ kN/m}^2$  applied from any direction together with the reaction, if any, which could be expected to be directly transmitted to that member by any attached building component also subjected to the load of  $34 \text{ kN/m}^2$  applied in the direction under consideration, or such lesser reaction as might reasonably be transmitted having regard to the strength of the attached component and the strength of its connection.

A masonry column or wall may have adequate strength to withstand a lateral design pressure of  $34 \text{ kN/m}^2$  if it supports a sufficiently high vertical axial load. The lateral strength of masonry can be checked in accordance with **36.8** but using the formula:

$$q_{\text{lat}} = \frac{7.6 tn}{{h_0}^2}$$

NOTE The formula incorporates a safety factor of 1.05.

#### Table 11 — Loadbearing elements

Type of loadbearing element	Extent
Beam	Clear span between supports or between a support and the extremity of a member
Column	Clear height between horizontal lateral supports
Slab or other floor and roof construction	Clear span between supports and/or temporary supports or between a support and the extremity of a member
Wall incorporating one or more lateral supports (note 2)	Length between lateral supports or length between a lateral support and the end of the wall
Wall without lateral supports	Length not exceeding 2.25 <i>h</i> anywhere along the wall (for internal walls) Full length (for external walls)
NOTE 1 Temporary supports to slabs can be provided by substan	tial or other adequate partitions capable of carrying the required

NOTE 1 Temporary supports to slabs can be provided by substantial or other adequate partitions capable of carrying the required load.

NOTE 2 Lateral supports to walls can be provided by intersecting or return walls, piers, stiffened sections of wall, substantial non-loadbearing partitions in accordance with a), b) and c) of **37.5** or purpose-designed structural elements.

## 37.2 Partial safety factors

For consideration of misuse and accident, the partial safety factors for loads should be taken from clause 22d) and those for material strength  $\gamma_m$  from 27.3, 27.4, 27.5 and 37.1.1.

#### **37.3 Horizontal ties**

The requirements for peripheral, internal and column or wall ties can be obtained from Table 13.

Peripheral, internal and column or wall ties [options (2) and (3) in Table 12] should be provided at each floor level and at roof level, but where the roof is of lightweight<sup>2)</sup> construction no such ties need be provided at that level.

Horizontal ties may be provided in whole or in part by structural members which may already be fully stressed in serving other purposes, e.g. reinforcement in a concrete floor slab or masonry in tension. Ties should be positioned to resist most effectively accidental damage.

## **37.4 Vertical ties**

The requirements for full vertical ties can be obtained from Table 14.

For full vertical tying to be considered effective [option (3)], precast or in-situ concrete or other heavy floor or roof units should be anchored, in the direction of their span, either to each other over a support or directly to their supports, in such a manner as to be capable of resisting a horizontal tensile force of  $F_t$  kilonewtons per metre width, where  $F_t$  is as given in Table 13. The wall should be contained between concrete surfaces or other similar construction, excluding timber, capable of providing resistance to lateral movement and rotation across the full width of the wall.

Ties should extend from roof level to the foundation or to a level at and below which the relevant members of the structure are protected in accordance with **37.1.1**.

They should be fully anchored at each end and at each floor level and any joint should be capable of transmitting the required tensile forces.

Ties should be adequately safeguarded from damage and corrosion.

#### 37.5 Loadbearing elements

For the purposes of this clause the definition of "loadbearing elements" is as given in Table 11, where h is the clear height of wall between horizontal lateral supports.

Lateral supports in relation to wall elements as defined in Table 11 may be considered to be provided by the following.

a) An intersecting or return wall tied to a wall to which it affords support, with connections capable of resisting a force of  $F_t$  kilonewtons per metre height of wall (Table 13), having a length without openings of not less than h/2 at right angles to the wall afforded support and having an average weight of not less than  $340 \text{ kg/m}^2$ .

b) A pier or a stiffened section of the wall (not exceeding 1 m in length), capable of resisting a horizontal force of  $1.5F_{\rm t}$  kilonewtons per metre height of wall (Table 13).

c) A substantial partition at right angles to the wall having an average weight of not less than 150 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, tied with connections capable of resisting a force of  $0.5F_{\rm t}$  kilonewtons per metre height of wall (Table 13).

NOTE A substantial partition need not be in a straight line but should in effect divide the bay into two compartments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> Roofs comprising timber or steel trusses, flat timber roofs or roofs incorporating concrete or steel purlins with asbestos or wood-wool deck may be regarded as lightweight.



Category 1 All buildings of four storeys and below	Plan form and construction to provide robustness, interaction of components and containment of spread of damage (see clause <b>20</b> )				
		Additional detailed recommendations for category 2			
Category 2		Option (1)	Option (2)	Option (3)	
All buildings of five storeys and above	Plan form and construction to provide robustness, interaction of components and containment of spread of damage (see clause <b>20</b> )	Vertical and horizontal elements, unless protected, proved removable, one at a time, without causing collapse	Horizontal ties Peripheral, internal and column or wall in accordance with <b>37.3</b> and Table 13	Horizontal ties Peripheral, internal and column or wall in accordance with <b>37.3</b> and Table 13	
			Vertical ties	Vertical ties	
			None or ineffective	In accordance with <b>37.4</b>	
			Vertical elements, unless protected, proved removable, one at a time, without causing collapse	and Table 14	

#### Table 12 — Detailed accidental damage recommendations



Table 13 — Requirements for full peripheral, internal and column or wall ties

 $\mathbbm{C}$ BSI 9 August 2002

azmanco.com

Minimum thickness of a solid wall or one loadbearing leaf of a cavity wall	150 mm		
Minimum characteristic compressive strength of masonry	5 N/mm <sup>2</sup>		
Maximum ratio $h_{\rm a}/t$	20		
Allowable mortar designations (see Table 1)	(i), (ii), (iii)		
Tie force	$\frac{34A}{8\ 000} \left(\frac{h_{\rm a}}{t}\right)^2 \text{N or 100 kN/m length of wall or per column, whichever is the greater}$		
Positioning of ties	5 m centres max. along the wall and 2.5 m max. from an unrestrained end of any wall		
NOTE A is the horizontal cross-sectional area in $mm^2$ of the column or wall including piers, but excluding the non-loadbearing leaf, if any, of an external wall of cavity construction; $h_a$ is the clear height of a column or wall between restraining surfaces;			

#### Table 14 — Requirements for full vertical ties

t is the thickness of column or wall.

#### Appendix A Testing

A.1 Mortar testing

#### A.1.1 Preliminary tests

At least 6 weeks before the masonry building is started, the strength of the mortar designations proposed for use should be determined in the laboratory, with materials from the sources from which the site is to be supplied. Six specimens of one of the following types:

a) 70.7 mm cube

- b) 100 mm cube
- c) 100 mm × 25 mm × 25 mm prisms
- d) 160 mm  $\times$  40 mm  $\times$  40 mm prisms

made with mortar of a consistency corresponding to a 10 mm penetration of the dropping ball, should be made by the "without suction" method, cured hydraulically and tested for compressive strength, in accordance with the procedures given in BS 4551. The type of specimen to be later used on the site should be identical with that used for these preliminary tests.

#### A.1.2 Interpretation of test results

The average compressive strengths for the various mortar designations are shown in Table 1.

If desired, half of the test specimens may be tested at 7 days. Normally, the results of these tests will give an indication of the strength to be expected at 28 days.

For mortars included in Table 1 the strength at 7 days will approximate to two-thirds of the strength at 28 days provided that the mortars are based on Portland cement without any additive to retard or accelerate the rate of hardening. If the average of these 7-day strengths equals or exceeds two-thirds of the appropriate strength given in Table 1, the mortar requirements are likely to be satisfied.

If less, then the designer may choose to await the 28-day strength or have the tests repeated using a more suitable sand. If the 28-day figure fails to achieve the strength given in Table 1 then either the tests should be repeated, using a more suitable sand, or the next higher designation of mortar should be used. If the latter procedure is adopted, the strength required of this higher designation should not be that given in Table 1, but should be the strength corresponding to the designation first chosen.

#### A.1.3 Site tests

Six specimens of one of the following types:

- a) 70.7 mm cube
- b) 100 mm cube
- c) 100 mm  $\times~25$  mm  $\times 25$  mm prisms
- d) 160 mm  $\times$  40 mm  $\times$  40 mm prisms

should be prepared on site for every 150 m<sup>2</sup> of wall, using any one designation of mortar, or for every storey of the building, whichever is the more frequent. Specimens should be stored and tested in accordance with BS 4551.

Half of the site samples should be tested at 7 days. The average strength should exceed two-thirds of the appropriate 28-day strength given in Table 1.

When the remaining site samples are tested at the age of 28 days, the mortar will be deemed to pass if the average of the values obtained from three prisms or the average of the values obtained from three cubes exceeds the appropriate site values given in Table 1.

#### A.2 Experimental determination of characteristic compressive strength of masonry

## A.2.1 General

A characteristic compressive strength for masonry,  $f_k$ , can be obtained from the ultimate strength of a brickwork or blockwork panel tested to destruction in accordance with this appendix. It should be noted that modifications to the test results may be necessary, depending on the compressive strength of the structural units.



#### A.2.2 Type of test panel

Duplicate tests should be carried out on nominally identical panels. These should be from 1.2 m to 1.8 m in length with a minimum cross-sectional area of  $0.125 \text{ m}^2$  and from 2.4 m to 2.7 m in height. Where, in special cases, it is required to test panels having dimensions outside these limits, the general principles laid down in this test procedure should be applied and the details should be reported. The construction and bond of the test wall should correspond to those to be used in practice.

#### A.2.3 Method of testing

The load should be applied uniformly over the whole area of the top and bottom of the panel. The platens or cross-heads through which the load is applied should be restrained against rotation to produce a flat-ended condition. The load should be applied at a rate of  $1 \text{ N/mm}^2$  per minute.

#### A.2.4 Structural units for test panels

The bricks and blocks used in the construction of the test panels should be representative of those to be used in actual structures. The compressive strength,  $p_{\rm u}$ , of a sample of the structural units should be obtained using the test methods given in BS 187, BS 3921 or BS 6073-1.

Where the structural units are not subjected to regular quality control, the manufacturer, prior to the tests, should state a "specified compressive strength",  $p_0$ , below which samples for future consignments supplied for the structure would not be expected to fall. Then the "unit reduction factor",  $\psi_u$ , should be calculated as follows:

$$\psi_{\rm u} = \frac{p_{\rm o}}{p_{\rm u}}$$
 with a maximum of 1.0.

Where the structural units are from a site in which the special category of manufacturing control is in operation, the manufacturer will specify an "acceptance limit" for compressive strength,  $p_{\text{lim}}$ , for the structural units (see **27.2**). Then the "unit reduction factor",  $\psi_{u}$ , should be calculated as follows:

 $\psi_{u} = \frac{p_{\lim}}{p_{u}}$  with a maximum of 1.0.

#### A.2.5 Mortar for test panels

The sand for the mortar used in the construction of the test panels should be representative of that to be used in actual structures.

The mortar used in the construction of the test panels should consist of weighed amounts of dry materials determined from the volume proportions given in Table 1, corresponding to the appropriate designation. The amount of water used for the first batch should be that needed for workability and this amount should not be changed for subsequent batches.

Samples of mortar should be taken from the mixing board to make mortar specimens and the simulated site strength, determined in accordance with **A.1.3**, should be reported.

#### A.2.6 Curing and age of testing

The test panels should be covered with polyethylene sheets for a period of three days after construction and then left uncovered until tested. It is recommended that the panels be tested at an age of 28 days, and this may be extended to 35 days when circumstances require this extension. The tests may be made earlier by agreement.

The compressive strength of the mortar should be determined at the age at which the panels are tested.

#### A.2.7 Calculation of characteristic compressive strength, $f_{\rm k}$

The characteristic compressive strength,  $f_{\rm k}$ , for the masonry under consideration may be calculated as follows:

$$f_{\rm k} = \frac{F_{\rm m}}{A} \times \frac{\psi_{\rm u} \psi_{\rm m}}{1.2}$$

where

I

BSI

 $F_{\rm m}$  is the mean of the maximum loads carried by the two test panels;

- *A* is the cross-sectional area of each panel;
- $\psi_{\rm m}$  is the reduction factor for strength of mortar given in Table 15;
- $\psi_{u}$  is the unit reduction factor for sample structural units.

The factor 1.2 is introduced to relate the characteristic value to the mean value.

Where the height of wall between flat ends exceeds 20 times the thickness, it is possible that slenderness effects may significantly reduce the test results from those that would be expected from a wall in the recommended height range. This effect can be approximately assessed by measuring the lateral deflection of the wall at mid-height under test.

If  $y_u$  is the deflection measured just before the point when the ultimate load is attained, it will be appropriate to increase the test value of  $F_m/A$  by  $(t/(t-y_u))$ , where t is the thickness of the wall. The increase, however, should not be greater than 15 %.

Ratio = Strength of mortar used for test panels Specified minimum site compressive strength	<b>Reduction factor</b> , $\psi_{\rm m}$
1.0 to 1.5	1.00
2.0	0.93
2.5	0.88
3.0	0.84
3.5	0.81
4.0	0.78
NOTE Linear interpolation between values is permitted.	

Table 15 — Reduction factor,  $\psi_{\rm m},$  for mortar strength

## A.3 Determination of characteristic flexural strength of masonry

## A.3.1 Test procedure

Characteristic flexural strengths for the two orthogonal directions may be determined by testing wallettes built of masonry units and mortars representative of those to be used on site.

Blocks should be immersed in water for 5 min to 6 min and allowed to drain prior to building the wallettes. The wallettes should be built within 1 h of the blocks being removed from the water. Bricks having a suction rate of more than  $1.5 \text{ kg/(m^2 \cdot min)}$  may be docked or the water retentivity of the mortar may be adjusted. The method of conditioning the bricks should be recorded in the test report.

Immediately after building, each wallette should be pre-compressed with three courses of bricks laid dry, or by the equivalent uniformly distributed mass. The wallette should then be cured<sup>3)</sup> and maintained undistributed until testing.

The wallette should be tested in the vertical attitude under four-point loading (see Figure 9). The equipment should accommodate variations of plane. Suitable precautions should be used at the contact area of the bearings to ensure that contact is provided over the full width of the masonry, e.g. a hollow rubber bolster of at least 7 mm wall thickness and 10 mm bore containing 8 mm diameter steel rod or a hydraulic bolster consisting of 18 mm o.d. polyester-reinforced PVC hose filled with water and sealed.

The outer bearings should be about 50 mm from the edge of the wallette. The spacings of the inner bearings may be varied to suit the format of the masonry but should be between 0.4 and 0.6 times the spacing of the outer bearings. The inner bearings should be located so that they are, as far as practicable, midway between the nearest mortar joints which are parallel to the bearings.

The base of each wallette should be free from frictional restraint, e.g. by setting it on two layers of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) or on ball, needle or roller bearings.

The rate of increase in flexural stress should be between 0.3 and 0.4 N/(mm<sup>2</sup>/min).

The replicates should be tested in each format at an age of  $28 \pm 1$  days.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup> Generally, close covering until testing with a material that does not permit water vapour penetration is most satisfactory; this was the method used to derive the figures in Table 3.



#### A.3.2 Format of wallettes

For brickwork not more than 102.5 mm thick, the format of wallette where the surface of failure will be at right angles to the bed joints should be four bricks long by four courses high. Where the surface of failure will be parallel to the bed joints, the format should be two bricks long by 10 courses high.

For blockwork, the format of wallette where the surface of failure will be at right angles to the bed joints should be  $2\frac{1}{2}$  blocks long by 4 block courses high. Where the surface of failure will be parallel to the bed joints, the format should be  $1\frac{1}{2}$  blocks long by 5 block courses high.

#### A.3.3 Calculation of characteristic flexural strength from experimental results

Any failure other than one occurring between the inner bearings should be discounted in calculations. Not less than eight results should be available when calculating the characteristic flexural strength.

If the flexural strengths obtained from the replicates are:

 $x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_v$ , the values

 $y_1, y_2, y_3 \dots y_v$ , should be calculated

where

BS

v = number of replicates;

 $y = \log x.$ 

The mean  $(\bar{y})$  and standard deviation (s) of y should then be calculated using the following formulae:

$$\overline{y} = (y_1 + y_2 + y_3 + \dots + y_v)/v$$

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{\left(y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \dots + y_v^2\right) - \left[\left(y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_v^2\right)/v\right]}{v - 1}}$$

Then  $y_{\rm c} = \bar{y} - \kappa_{\rm s}$ .

where *κ* = 1.922 for 10 results; 1.961 for 9 results;

2.010 for 8 results.

2.010 for 8 results.

Characteristic flexural strength = antilog  $(y_c)$ .

## Appendix B **Derivation of** $\beta$

#### **B.1** Assumptions for eccentricity and slenderness

The eccentricity is assumed to vary from the value  $e_x$  at the top of the wall, calculated in accordance with clause **31**, to zero at the bottom of the wall, subject to an additional eccentricity being considered to cover slenderness effects. No slenderness effect need be considered for walls or columns where the slenderness ratio is less than or equal to 6. The additional eccentricity may be assumed to vary linearly from zero at top and bottom of the wall, to a value  $e_a$  over the central fifth of the wall height where  $e_a$  is given by:

$$e_{\rm a} = t \left[ \frac{1}{2 \ 400} (h_{\rm ef} / t_{\rm ef})^2 - 0.015 \right] \tag{1}$$

where

t is the thickness of the wall (or depth of column);

is the effective thickness of the wall or column;  $t_{\rm ef}$ 

 $h_{\mathrm{ef}}$  is the effective height of the wall or column.

The total design eccentricity,  $e_t$ , in the mid-height region of a slender wall is therefore given by:

 $e_{\rm t} = 0.6e_{\rm x} + e_{\rm a}$ (2)

where  $e_x$  is the eccentricity calculated at the top of the wall.

It should be noted that  $e_t$  can be less than  $e_x$  and plainly in such cases  $e_x$ , the eccentricity at the top of the wall, should govern the design, and should be taken as the design eccentricity.

#### B.2 Assumptions for design of wall made from solid units

For design eccentricities,  $e_{\rm m}$ , of 0 to 0.05t, calculated from **B.1**, equation (2), the design vertical load capacity of a member is given by:

$$\beta t(f_k/\gamma_m)$$

where

 $e_{\rm m}$  is the larger of  $e_{\rm x}$  and  $e_{\rm t}$ ; and  $\beta = 1$ .

For design eccentricities,  $e_{\rm m}$ , of greater than 0.05t, the eccentric load should be assumed to be resisted by a rectangular stress block with a constant stress of  $1.1 f_k / \gamma_m$  (see Figure 10). It follows that the design vertical load capacity of the member is:

$$1.1 \left(1 - \frac{2e_{\rm m}}{t}\right) \left(t \cdot \frac{f_{\rm k}}{\gamma_{\rm m}}\right) \tag{3}$$

where

is the larger of  $e_x$  and  $e_t$ , but not less than 0.05t;  $e_{\rm m}$ 

- is the characteristic strength of masonry as defined in clause 23;  $f_k$
- is the appropriate partial safety factor for materials as defined in [clause 27, Table 4a)].  $\gamma_{\rm m}$

Comparing the expressions for capacity given in **32.2** with equation (3), it will be seen that:

 $\beta = 1.1 [1 - (2e_{\rm m}/t)]$ 

The values of  $\beta$  in Table 7 have been calculated from equation (4).

3)

(4)



#### B.3 Alternative assumptions for design of single-leaf walls with hollow concrete blocks

Single-leaf walls with hollow concrete blocks may be designed on the assumption of a stress block acting on the net area using a characteristic strength enhanced in the ratio of gross area to net area. It is conservative, however, to assume for design purposes that the units are solid, using the characteristic strength based on the gross unit area, and this is why no distinction is drawn in clause **32**.

# B.4 Alternative assumptions for design of single-leaf walls of shell bedded blocks or hollow clay masonry with divided bed joints

A stress block approach may also be used for single-leaf walls of shell bedded blocks or hollow clay masonry with divided bed joints as indicated in **B.3** but it is sufficient and conservative to treat them as solid walls, provided the strength is derived as described in clause **32**.

## Appendix C Connections to floors and roofs by means of metal anchors and joist hangers capable of resisting lateral movement

Figure 11 to Figure 25 illustrate connections which may be used to provide lateral restraint in accordance with **28.2.2**. In the illustrations, floors are generally shown; however, the same details are applicable to roofs. The effective cross-section of anchors and of their fixings should be capable of resisting the loads as specified in **28.2.1**, assuming a stress equal to the characteristic yield strength (or its equivalent) as laid down in the appropriate British Standard divided by  $\gamma_m = 1.15$ . Anchors should be provided at intervals of not more than 2 m in houses of not more than three storeys and not more than 1.25 m for all storeys in all other buildings. Galvanized mild steel anchors having a cross-section of 30 mm × 5 mm may be assumed to have adequate strength in buildings of up to six storeys in height.





















 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ BSI 9 August 2002 azmanco.com












Figure 25 — Precast units abutting internal wall

### Appendix D Laterally loaded panels of irregular shape, or those containing openings

The guidance given in clause **36** on the design of laterally loaded panels without precompression is based on research, in which largely rectangular panels, without openings, were tested. When irregular shapes of panel, or those with substantial openings, are to be designed it will often be possible to divide them into sub-panels, which can then be calculated using the rules given in clause **36** (see Figure 26). Alternatively, an analysis, using a recognized method of obtaining bending moments in flat plates, e.g. finite element or yield line, may be used and these can then be used instead of the moments obtained from the coefficients given in Table 9.

Small openings in panels will have little effect on the strength of the panel in which they occur, and they can be ignored. When suitable timber or metal frames are built into openings, the strength of the frame, taken in conjunction with the masonry panel, will often be sufficient to replace the strength lost by the area of the opening. Such cases will have to be decided by the designer, as guidance is beyond the scope of this code.



## **Publication(s)** referred to

BS 12, Specification for Portland cement. BS 146, Specification for Portland blastfurnace cements with strength properties outside the scope of BS EN 197-1. BS 146-2, Metric units. BS 187, Specification for calcium silicate (sandlime and flintlime) bricks. BS 743, Specification for materials for damp proof courses. BS 1014, Specification for pigments for Portland cement and Portland cement products. BS 1217, Specification for cast stone. BS 1243, Specification for metal ties for cavity wall construction. BS 3921, Specification for clay bricks. BS 4027, Specification for sulfate-resisting Portland cement. BS 4027-2, Metric units. BS 4551, Methods of testing mortars, screeds and plasters. BS 4721, Specification for ready-mixed building mortars. BS 4729, Specification for dimensions of bricks of special shapes and sizes. BS 4887, Mortar admixtures. BS 5224, Specification for masonry cement. BS 5502, Buildings and structures for agriculture. BS 5502-22, Code of practice for design, construction and loading. BS 5628, Code of practice for use of masonry. BS 5628-2, Structural use of reinforced and prestressed masonry<sup>4</sup>). BS 5628-3, Materials and components, design and workmanship. BS 6073, Precast concrete masonry units. BS 6073-1, Specification for precast concrete masonry units. BS 6399, Loading for buildings. BS 6399-1, Code of practice for dead and imposed loads. BS 6399-2, Code of practice for wind loads. BS 6399-3, Code of practice for imposed roof loads. BS 6457, Specification for reconstructed stone masonry units. BS 6649, Specification for clay and calcium silicate modular bricks. BS 8000-3, Workmanship on building sites — Code of practice for masonry. BS 8002, Code of practice for retaining structures. BS 8110, The structural use of concrete. BS 8215, Code of practice for design and installation of damp-proof courses in masonry construction. CP 111, Structural recommendations for loadbearing walls. DD 34, Clay bricks with modular dimensions. DD 59, Calcium silicate bricks with modular dimensions. DD 86, Damp proof courses. DD 86-1, Methods of test for flexural bond strength and short term shear strength. DD 86-2, Method of test for creep deformation. DD 140, Wall ties. DD 140-1, Methods of test for mortar joint and timber frame connections. DD 140-2, Recommendations for design of wall ties.

BS



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup> Referred to in the foreword only.

### Index

In this index references are to clause, appendix, table and figure numbers. Accidental damage,  $\mathbf{37}$ 

Accidental loads (values of  $\gamma_m$ ), 27.3 Arching, 36.4.4 Beams spreader, 34 supporting, 35 Bearing stresses, 34 Bending moment coefficients, Table 9 Blocks cellular concrete, 8.4.2 hollow clay, 8.4.1, 23.1, 23.3 hollow concrete, 8.4.2, 23.1, 23.3 laying, 8.4 specification, 7 Blockwork characteristic compressive strength, 23.1.5, 23.1.6, 23.1.7, 23.3 characteristic flexural strength, 24 Bricks laying, 8.2 perforated, 8.3, 23.3 Brickwork characteristic compressive strength, 23.1.2, 23.1.3, 23.1.4, characteristic flexural strength, 24 Building category 1, 3.2, 3.71 category 2, 3.3, 37.1 Cement, 14 Characteristic load dead load, 21 imposed load, 21 Characteristic strength compressive, 23, A.2 flexural, 24, A.3 shear. 25 Chases, 10 Coefficient of friction, 26 Columns axially loaded, 36.8 cavity, 32.2.3 definition, 3.7 design lateral strength, 36.8 design vertical load resistance, 32.2.2, 32.2.3 eccentrically load, 32.2.3 vertical loading, 32 Compressive strength of units, 23 Concentrated loads, 34 Construction control. 27.2.2 Damp proof courses, 12, 24.1, 36.4.2 Design accidental damage, 37 freestanding walls, 36.5 general, 19 laterally loaded wall panels, 36.4 Design loads, 3.8, 22 Design strength, 3.9, 32.2, 36.8 Eccentricity in plane of wall, 30 at right angles to wall, 31 Effective height columns, 28.3.1.2 columns formed by adjacent openings, 28.3.1.3 definition, 3.10 design, 28.3.1 piers, 28.3.1.4 walls, 28.3.1.1 Effective length definition, 3.10 design, 28.3.2

Effective thickness definition, 3.11 design, 28.4 walls and columns not stiffened, 28.4.1 walls and columns stiffened, 28.4.2 Flexural strength see Characteristic strength Friction, coefficient of, 26 Frost inhibitors, 18 Lateral movement connections capable of resisting, Appendix C horizontal, resistance to, 28.2.2 vertical, resistance to, 28.2.3 Lateral support definition. 3.13 design, 28.2, 37.5 horizontal, types of, 28.2.2 vertical, types of, 28.2.3 Laving of structural units. 8 rate of. 9 Limiting dimensions of panels, 36.3 Limit states serviceability, 19 ultimate, 19, 22 Loadbearing elements (accidental damage), 37.5 Loads characteristic, 21 design, 22 eccentric in plane of wall, 32.1 Manufacturing control, 27.2.2 Masonry characteristic compressive strength, 23 characteristic flexural strength, 24 characteristic shear strength, 25 Materials general, 6 not referred to by this standard, 5 previously used, 7 specification, 7 Mortars mixing, 15 ready mixed, 15.1 specification, 15 testing, A.1 Openings, 10, Appendix D Orthogonal ratio, 3.16, 36.4.2 Pallet slips, 11 Panels (lateral loaded) design, 36.4 irregular shape, Appendix D limiting dimensions, 36.3 Partial safety factors design (general), 19 material strength ( $\gamma_{\rm m}$ ), 27 quality control ( $\gamma_{\rm m}$ ), 27.2 values for  $\gamma_{\rm f}$ , 22 values for  $\gamma_{\rm m}$  (general), 27.3 values for  $\gamma_{\rm m}$  (wall ties), 27.5 values for  $\gamma_{mv}$ , 27.4 Piers, 3.17, 28.3.1.4 Protected member, 3.18, 37.1.1 Quality control, 27.2 References, 2 Serviceability limit state, 19

Shear strength see Characteristic

strength

Stability accidental forces, 20.3 during construction, 20.4 earth retaining and foundation structures, 20.2 general, 20.1 stiffness coefficient, Table 5 support conditions, 36.2, Figure 7 and Figure 8 Testing characteristic compressive strength A.2 characteristic flexural strength, A.3mortar, A.1 Ties, structural accidental damage horizontal ties, 37.3 vertical ties, 37.4 Ties, wall see Wall ties Walls axially loaded (design lateral strength), 36.8 cavity definition, 3.21.3 design (general), 29.1 design (eccentric loading), 32.2.3 design (external), 29.2 design (moment of resistance), 36.4.5 characteristic compressive strength, 23 characteristic flexural strength, 24 collar jointed see double-leaf design vertical load resistance, 32.2.1 double-leaf definition, 3.21.2 design (general), 29.5 design (eccentric loading), 32.2.3 faced definition, 3.21.5 design. 29.3 foundation. 36.7 freestanding, 36.5 grouted cavity definition, 3.21.4 design. 29.6 hollow block, 8.4, 23.1.5, 23.3 hollow concrete block filled with in-situ concrete, 23.1.7 lateral loads, 36 modular brick. 23.1.3 narrow brick, 23.1.2 natural stone masonry, 23.1.8 perforated brick, 8.3, 23.3 propped cantilever, 36.9 random rubble, 23.1.9 retaining, 36.6 shear forces, 33 single-leaf (definition), 3.21.1 solid concrete block, 23.1.6 veneered definition. 3.21.6 design, 29.4 wide brick, 23.1.4 Wall ties characteristic strength, 36.2 embedment, 29.1.6 partial safety factor  $(\gamma_m)$ , 27.5 spacing, 29.1.5 specification, 13 use in panels characteristic strength, 36.2 partial safety factor ( $\gamma_m$ ), 27.5

-icensed Copy: Sheffield University, University of Sheffield, 11 December 2002, Uncontrolled Copy, (c) BSI

azmanco.com

# **BSI** — British Standards Institution

BSI is the independent national body responsible for preparing British Standards. It presents the UK view on standards in Europe and at the international level. It is incorporated by Royal Charter.

#### Revisions

British Standards are updated by amendment or revision. Users of British Standards should make sure that they possess the latest amendments or editions.

It is the constant aim of BSI to improve the quality of our products and services. We would be grateful if anyone finding an inaccuracy or ambiguity while using this British Standard would inform the Secretary of the technical committee responsible, the identity of which can be found on the inside front cover. Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9000. Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7400.

BSI offers members an individual updating service called PLUS which ensures that subscribers automatically receive the latest editions of standards.

#### **Buying standards**

Orders for all BSI, international and foreign standards publications should be addressed to Customer Services. Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 9001. Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001. Email: orders@bsi-global.com. Standards are also available from the BSI website at <u>http://www.bsi-global.com</u>.

In response to orders for international standards, it is BSI policy to supply the BSI implementation of those that have been published as British Standards, unless otherwise requested.

#### Information on standards

BSI provides a wide range of information on national, European and international standards through its Library and its Technical Help to Exporters Service. Various BSI electronic information services are also available which give details on all its products and services. Contact the Information Centre. Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7111. Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7048. Email: info@bsi-global.com.

Subscribing members of BSI are kept up to date with standards developments and receive substantial discounts on the purchase price of standards. For details of these and other benefits contact Membership Administration. Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7002. Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7001. Email: membership@bsi-global.com.

Information regarding online access to British Standards via British Standards Online can be found at <u>http://www.bsi-global.com/bsonline</u>.

Further information about BSI is available on the BSI website at <u>http://www.bsi-global.com</u>.

#### Copyright

Copyright subsists in all BSI publications. BSI also holds the copyright, in the UK, of the publications of the international standardization bodies. Except as permitted under the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 no extract may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without prior written permission from BSI.

This does not preclude the free use, in the course of implementing the standard, of necessary details such as symbols, and size, type or grade designations. If these details are to be used for any other purpose than implementation then the prior written permission of BSI must be obtained.

Details and advice can be obtained from the Copyright & Licensing Manager. Tel: +44 (0)20 8996 7070. Fax: +44 (0)20 8996 7553. Email: copyright@bsi-global.com.

-icensed Copy: Sheffield University, University of Sheffield, 11 December 2002, Uncontrolled Copy, (c) BSI

BSI 389 Chiswick High Road London W4 4AL

## azmanco.com